Electronic self-reporting of adverse events for patients undergoing cancer treatment: the eRAPID research programme including two RCTs

Galina Velikova,^{1,2*} Kate Absolom,^{1,3} Jenny Hewison,³ Patricia Holch,^{1,4} Lorraine Warrington,¹ Kerry Avery,⁵ Hollie Richards,⁵ Jane Blazeby,⁵ Bryony Dawkins,³ Claire Hulme,⁶ Robert Carter,¹ Liz Glidewell,⁷ Ann Henry,^{1,2} Kevin Franks,^{1,2} Geoff Hall,^{1,2} Susan Davidson,⁸ Karen Henry,² Carolyn Morris,⁹ Mark Conner,¹⁰ Lucy McParland,¹¹ Katrina Walker,¹¹ Eleanor Hudson¹¹ and Julia Brown¹¹

Declared competing interests of authors: Galina Velikova reports personal fees from Roche Holding AG (Basel, Switzerland), Eisai Co., Ltd (Tokyo, Japan) and Novartis International AG (Basel, Switzerland), grants from Breast Cancer Now (London, UK) and the European Organisation for Research and Treatment of Cancer (Brussels, Belgium), and personal fees from Pfizer Inc. (New York, NY, USA) outside the submitted work. Jane Blazeby reports being a member of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Clinical Trials Unit Standing Advisory Group (2015–19), the NIHR Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Surgery Themed Call Board (2012–13), the NIHR HTA Commissioning Board for Obesity (2011–12) and the NIHR HTA Strategy Committee (2009–12). Julia Brown reports being a member of the NIHR HTA Clinical Evaluation and Trials Funding Committee (2017 to present). Claire Hulme reports being a member of the NIHR HTA Commissioning Board (2013–17). Ann Henry reports grants from the NIHR Research for Patient Benefit programme (PB-PG-0816-10017: effective clinical cancer treatment, care and management of people with co-morbid cancer and dementia), Medical Research Council, Cancer Research UK (London, UK) and Royal College of Radiologists outside the submitted work. Kevin Franks reports personal fees

¹Leeds Institute of Medical Research at St James's, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

²Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust, Leeds, UK

³Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

⁴Psychology Group, School of Social Sciences, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK

⁵Bristol Centre for Surgical Research, Population Health Sciences, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

⁶Health Economics Group, Institute of Health Research, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

⁷Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, UK

⁸The Christie NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester, UK

⁹Independent Cancer Patients' Voice, London, UK

¹⁰School of Psychology, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

¹¹Leeds Institute of Clinical Trials Research, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

^{*}Corresponding author g.velikova@leeds.ac.uk

and non-financial support from AstraZeneca plc (Cambridge, UK), personal fees from Roche Holding AG, Bristol Myers Squibb™ (New York, NY, USA) and Pfizer Inc., and non-financial support from Boerhinger Ingleheim (Ingelheim am Rhein, Germany) and Takeda Pharmaceutical Company Limited (Tokyo, Japan) outside the submitted work.

Published February 2022 DOI: 10.3310/FDDE8516

Plain English summary

The eRAPID research programme

Programme Grants for Applied Research 2022; Vol. 10: No. 1

DOI: 10.3310/FDDE8516

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain English summary

ancer patients experience various symptoms and side effects during and beyond treatment. Although some can be minor, others can be life-threatening. Monitoring symptom severity when patients are not in hospital is difficult. This research developed and tested an online system, called eRAPID (electronic patient self-Reporting of Adverse-events: Patient Information and aDvice), for patients to report symptoms from home and get advice on what to do. These data were available for hospital staff to review in electronic health records.

eRAPID was originally developed for use with chemotherapy. During this research, it was adapted for patients receiving radiotherapy and surgery. For the chemotherapy work carried out in Leeds, UK, a large randomised controlled trial assessed the impact of eRAPID, compared with usual care, on patients' quality of life and hospital contacts and on the financial costs for patients and health services. To adapt eRAPID for radiotherapy and surgery, the information technology was developed and patient symptom reports and self-management advice were created, following systematic reviews and input from patients and clinicians. For radiotherapy, eRAPID, was assessed in a pilot study in Leeds and Manchester, UK. A feasibility study in Bristol, UK, took place with patients who had received cancer surgery.

We successfully developed and delivered eRAPID in three treatment areas and at three hospitals. Patient and staff feedback suggested that eRAPID was acceptable and largely well received. The chemotherapy randomised controlled trial showed that eRAPID did not lead to significant improvement in patient symptom control at the end of the study (18 weeks). However, in both the chemotherapy randomised controlled trial and the radiotherapy pilot, eRAPID appeared to be beneficial earlier in treatment. Not all doctors involved viewed the patient symptom information available to them. We conclude that the eRAPID approach to supporting monitoring and management of cancer patients has advantages. Future research should focus on how best this style of intervention can be implemented in practice to maximise clinical benefit.

Programme Grants for Applied Research

ISSN 2050-4322 (Print)

ISSN 2050-4330 (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full PGfAR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/pgfar. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Programme Grants for Applied Research journal

Reports are published in *Programme Grants for Applied Research* (PGfAR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the PGfAR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Programme Grants for Applied Research programme

The Programme Grants for Applied Research (PGfAR) programme, part of the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR), was established in 2006 to fund collaborative, multidisciplinary programmes of applied research to solve health and social care challenges. Findings are expected to provide evidence that lead to clear and identifiable patient benefits, in the relatively near future.

PGfAR is researcher led and does not specify topics for research; however, the research must be in an area of priority or need for the NHS and the social care sector of the Department of Health and Social Care, with particular emphasis on health and social care areas that cause significant burden, where other research funders may not be focused, or where insufficient funding is available.

The programme is managed by the NIHR Central Commissioning Facility (CCF) with strategic input from the Programme Director. For more information about the PGfAR programme please visit the website: https://www.nihr.ac.uk/explore-nihr/funding-programmes/programme-grants-for-applied-research.htm

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by PGfAR as project number RP-PG-0611-20008. The contractual start date was in July 2013. The final report began editorial review in October 2019 and was accepted for publication in April 2021. As the funder, the PGfAR programme agreed the research questions and study designs in advance with the investigators. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The PGfAR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, CCF, NETSCC, PGfAR or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the PGfAR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Copyright © 2022 Velikova *et al.* This work was produced by Velikova *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaption in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Consultant in Public Health, Delta Public Health Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Adviser, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Reader in Trials, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, Child and Adolescent Mental Health, Palliative Care and Paediatrics Unit, Population Policy and Practice Programme, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk