# Moulded cast compared with K-wire fixation after manipulation of an acute dorsally displaced distal radius fracture: the DRAFFT 2 RCT

Matthew L Costa,<sup>1\*</sup> Juul Achten,<sup>1</sup> Alexander Ooms,<sup>2</sup> May Ee Png,<sup>3</sup> Jonathan Cook,<sup>2</sup> Melina Dritsaki,<sup>2</sup> Sarah E Lamb,<sup>1,4</sup> Robin Lerner,<sup>1,5</sup> Kylea Draper,<sup>1</sup> Marta Campolier,<sup>1</sup> Helen Dakin,<sup>6</sup> Alwin McGibbon,<sup>7</sup> Nicholas Parsons,<sup>8</sup> Helen Hedley,<sup>9</sup> Joseph Dias<sup>10</sup> and the DRAFFT 2 Collaborators<sup>†</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Oxford Trauma and Emergency Care, Kadoorie Research Centre, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedic, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- <sup>2</sup>Centre for Statistics in Medicine, Oxford Clinical Trials Research Unit, Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- <sup>3</sup>Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- <sup>4</sup>College of Medicine and Health, St Luke's Campus, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK
- <sup>5</sup>Blizard Institute, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- <sup>6</sup>Nuffield Department of Population Health, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK
- <sup>7</sup>Patient and public involvement group member, Wimbourne, UK
- <sup>8</sup>Statistics and Epidemiology Unit, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK
- <sup>9</sup>Department of Orthopaedics, University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust, Coventry, UK
- <sup>10</sup>AToMS Academic Team of Musculoskeletal Surgery, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, UK

**Declared competing interests of authors:** Matthew L Costa is a National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Senior Investigator and a member of the General Board for the NIHR Health Technology Assessment (HTA) funding stream. Sarah E Lamb was on the HTA Additional Capacity Funding Board (2012–15), the HTA End of Life Care and Add-on Studies Board (September 2015), the HTA Prioritisation Group Board (2010–15), the HTA Trauma Board (2007–8) and the HTA Maternal, Neonatal and Child Health Methods Group (2013–15). She was also the deputy chairperson of the HTA Primary Care Themed Call Board (2013–14) and chairperson of the NIHR Clinical Trials Unit Standing Advisory Committee (2012–16). Jonathan Cook was a member of the HTA Efficient Study Designs Board 2014 (2015–16) and the HTA End of Life Care and Add-on Studies (2015–16). Joseph Dias reports grants from the NIHR HTA programme (project numbers 11/36/37 and 15/102/04) outside the submitted work.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author matthew.costa@ndorms.ox.ac.uk †The DRAFFT 2 Collaborators are listed in *Appendix* 1.

Published February 2022 DOI: 10.3310/RLCF6332

# **Scientific summary**

## The DRAFFT 2 RCT

Health Technology Assessment 2022; Vol. 26: No. 11

DOI: 10.3310/RLCF6332

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

# Scientific summary

#### **Background**

Patients with a displaced fracture of the distal radius are frequently offered surgical fixation following a manipulation of their fracture. A moulded plaster cast is an alternative treatment that avoids metal implants, but evidence of its effectiveness is lacking.

#### **Objectives**

The primary objective of this randomised controlled trial was to compare the Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation score in the 12 months following randomisation among patients with a dorsally displaced fracture of the distal radius who were treated with manipulation and surgical fixation with Kirschner wires (K-wires) and those treated with manipulation and a moulded cast

The secondary objectives were to compare quality-of-life outcomes, complications, resource use and cost-effectiveness between the treatments in the first 12 months after randomisation.

#### **Methods**

All adult patients presenting at the recruitment centres with an acute dorsally displaced fracture of the distal radius who would benefit from manipulation were potentially eligible to take part in the trial. These broad eligibility criteria ensure that the results of the study can be readily generalised to the wider patient population.

Prior to the manipulation, a member of the local research team collected baseline demographic information. The participants were asked to complete a questionnaire to ascertain both their current (injured) and their typical (pre-injury) status.

A randomisation sequence, stratified by recruitment centre, intra-articular extension of the fracture and age of the participant (aged < 50 or  $\ge 50$  years), was produced and administered independently. Each participant had their fracture manipulated in the operating theatre and was then randomly allocated to either 'manipulation and surgical fixation with K-wires' (the K-wire group) or 'manipulation and moulded casting' (the cast group). Both of these interventions are widely used in the NHS and all of the surgeons were familiar with both techniques. Post manipulation, each participant was issued with standardised written rehabilitation instructions.

#### **Outcome**

The primary outcome measure for this study was the Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation. The Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation is a 15-item questionnaire designed specifically for assessment of distal radial fractures and wrist injuries and comprises a range of questions in two (equally weighted) sections concerning the patient's experience of pain and function. All questions are scored on an 11-point, ordered, categorical scale ranging from 'no pain' or 'no difficulty' (0) to 'worst ever pain' or 'unable to do' (10). Five questions relate to the patient's experience of pain and 10 questions relate to function and disability; scores for the 10 function items are summed and divided by 2 and added to the total score for the five pain items to give a score out of 100 (best score = 0 and worst score = 100).

Health-related quality of life was recorded using the EuroQol-5 Dimensions, five-level version, and resource use was recorded from a health and personal social care perspective. A within-trial cost-utility analysis was computed from an NHS and Personal Social Services perspective.

A member of the local research team performed a clinical assessment and made a record of any early complications at 6 weeks, including the need for any further surgery. Outcome data were collected by the central research team using the Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation and EuroQol-5 Dimensions, five-level version, at 3, 6 and 12 months post randomisation. These questionnaires were administered centrally. The participants were also asked to complete a health-care resource use questionnaire and to provide details of any complications or interventions related to their injury.

#### **Results**

Between January 2017 and March 2019, 500 participants (mean age 60 years, 83% women) were randomly allocated to the cast group (n = 255) or the K-wire group (n = 245) after a closed fracture manipulation. A total of 395 participants (80%) were included in the primary analysis at 12 months.

Patients' wrist function improved in the year following randomisation as measured by the Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation score, but there was no evidence of a difference between the two treatments at 12 months [cast group: n = 200, mean score 21.2 (standard deviation 23.1); K-wire group: n = 195, mean score 20.7 (standard deviation 22.3); adjusted mean difference -0.34 (95% confidence interval -4.33 to 3.66); p = 0.87]. Nor was there evidence of a difference in Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation scores at 3 or 6 months post randomisation.

Health-related quality of life, measured by the EuroQol-5 Dimensions, five-level version, also showed an improvement over time from injury until 12 months post randomisation, but there was no difference between the treatments at any time point, with a 12-month adjusted mean difference of -0.03 (95% confidence interval -0.07 to 0.02). Thirty-three participants (12.94%) in the cast group required surgery for loss of fracture reduction in the first 6 weeks post randomisation, compared with one participant (0.41%) in the K-wire group (odds ratio 0.02, 95% confidence interval 0.001 to 0.10; p < 0.001). The base-case cost-effectiveness analysis showed that surgical fixation with K-wires was dominated, in that it provided similar outcomes for slightly higher costs. The use of K-wires is unlikely to be cost-effective, and sensitivity analyses found this result to be robust.

#### **Conclusions**

Surgical fixation with K-wires was not found to be superior to moulded casting, as measured by Patient-Rated Wrist Evaluation score following manipulation of a dorsally displaced fracture of the distal radius. However, one in eight patients treated with the moulded cast later required surgery for loss of fracture reduction in the first 6 weeks post randomisation. If a closed reduction of the fracture can be achieved, clinicians may consider the application of a moulded cast as a safe and cost-effective alternative to surgical fixation with K-wires.

#### **Trial registration**

This trial is registered as ISRCTN11980540 and UKCRN Portfolio 208830.

## **Funding**

This project was funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment programme and will be published in full in *Health Technology Assessment*; Vol. 26, No. 11. See the NIHR Journals Library website for further project information.

## **Health Technology Assessment**

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 4.014

Health Technology Assessment is indexed in MEDLINE, CINAHL, EMBASE, the Cochrane Library and Clarivate Analytics Science Citation Index.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

#### **HTA** programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

#### This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 15/27/01. The contractual start date was in July 2016. The draft report began editorial review in September 2020 and was accepted for publication in April 2021. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, NETSCC, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Copyright © 2022 Costa *et al.* This work was produced by Costa *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaption in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

#### NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

#### **NIHR Journals Library Editors**

**Professor John Powell** Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

**Professor Andrée Le May** Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals

**Professor Matthias Beck** Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Consultant in Public Health, Delta Public Health Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Adviser, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Reader in Trials, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

**Professor James Raftery** Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

**Professor Helen Roberts** Professor of Child Health Research, Child and Adolescent Mental Health, Palliative Care and Paediatrics Unit, Population Policy and Practice Programme, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

**Professor Helen Snooks** Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

**Professor Jim Thornton** Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk