The Good Behaviour Game intervention to improve behavioural and other outcomes for children aged 7–8 years: a cluster RCT

Neil Humphrey,^{1*} Alexandra Hennessey,¹
Patricio Troncoso,^{1,2} Margarita Panayiotou,¹
Louise Black,¹ Kimberly Petersen,¹ Lawrence Wo,¹
Carla Mason,¹ Emma Ashworth,³ Kirsty Frearson,¹
Jan R Boehnke,⁴ Rhys D Pockett,⁵ Julia Lowin,⁵
David Foxcroft,⁶ Michael Wigelsworth¹
and Ann Lendrum¹

Declared competing interests of authors: Jan R Boehnke discloses roles as a co-investigator on several randomised trials of school-based interventions funded by the Education Endowment Foundation and Department for Education (for which his institution received payment), in addition to acting as an expert reviewer of statistical analysis plans for the Education Endowment Foundation and being co-editor-in-chief of *Quality of Life Research* (for which he has received personal honoraria).

Published May 2022 DOI: 10.3310/VKOF7695

Plain English summary

The Good Behaviour Game intervention Public Health Research 2022; Vol. 10: No. 7

DOI: 10.3310/VKOF7695

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

¹Manchester Institute of Education, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK ²Institute for Social Policy, Housing, Equalities Research, Heriot-Watt University, Edinburgh, UK

³School of Psychology, Liverpool John Moores University, Liverpool, UK

⁴School of Health Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee, UK

⁵Swansea Centre for Health Economics, University of Swansea, Swansea, UK

⁶Department of Psychology, Health and Professional Development, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK

^{*}Corresponding author neil.humphrey@manchester.ac.uk

Plain English summary

p to 1 hour per week of learning time in primary schools is lost because of low-level disruptive behaviour (e.g. calling out and fidgeting). The Good Behaviour Game is an approach used by teachers to improve behaviour in the classroom. It includes classroom rules, teamwork and positive reinforcement of good behaviour.

We asked:

- 1. What is the impact of the Good Behaviour Game on children's behaviour problems and other outcomes (e.g. well-being)?
- 2. Is the Good Behaviour Game particularly effective for boys showing signs of behaviour problems?
- 3. Does the amount of time spent playing the Good Behaviour Game make a difference to children's outcomes?
- 4. Is there any impact 1 or 2 years after the intervention has finished?
- 5. Are children's mental health and their reading scores related over time?
- 6. Does the Good Behaviour Game provide value for money?

A total of 77 primary schools (> 3000 children) were allocated by chance to deliver (or not deliver) the Good Behaviour Game for 2 years. Data were collected a further 2 years after the intervention had ended.

We found:

- 1. There was no evidence that the Good Behaviour Game improved children's behaviour problems or other outcomes.
- 2. The Good Behaviour Game was not effective for boys showing signs of behaviour problems in fact, it may have led to increased experiences of bullying for them.
- The amount of time spent playing the Good Behaviour Game did not appear to influence children's outcomes, except that playing the game for longer reduced well-being and also reduced school absence.
- 4. We found little evidence of impact in follow-up assessments, except that children in Good Behaviour Game schools reported lower levels of peer and social support 2 years after the intervention had ended.
- 5. Children's mental health and their reading scores did not appear to be related over time.
- 6. The Good Behaviour Game did not provide value for money.

Based on these findings, we cannot recommend the Good Behaviour Game as a way to improve children's behaviour or other outcomes.

Public Health Research

ISSN 2050-4381 (Print)

ISSN 2050-439X (Online)

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full PHR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/phr. Print-on-demand copies can be purchased from the report pages of the NIHR Journals Library website: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Criteria for inclusion in the Public Health Research journal

Reports are published in *Public Health Research* (PHR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the PHR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Public Health Research* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

PHR programme

The Public Health Research (PHR) programme, part of the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), is the leading UK funder of public health research, evaluating public health interventions, providing new knowledge on the benefits, costs, acceptability and wider impacts of non-NHS interventions intended to improve the health of the public and reduce inequalities in health. The scope of the programme is multi-disciplinary and broad, covering a range of interventions that improve public health.

For more information about the PHR programme please visit the website: https://www.nihr.ac.uk/explore-nihr/funding-programmes/public-health-research.htm

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the PHR programme as project number 14/52/38. The contractual start date was in March 2017. The final report began editorial review in May 2021 and was accepted for publication in November 2021. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The PHR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Copyright © 2022 Humphrey et al. This work was produced by Humphrey et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaption in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland (www.prepress-projects.co.uk).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor John Powell Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board and Editor-in-Chief of HTA and EME journals. Consultant Clinical Adviser, National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE), UK, and Professor of Digital Health Care, Nuffield Department of Primary Care Health Sciences, University of Oxford, UK

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editor-in-Chief of HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Consultant in Public Health, Delta Public Health Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Peter Davidson Consultant Advisor, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Adviser, Wessex Institute, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Reader in Trials, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, Wessex Institute, Faculty of Medicine, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Reviews Manager, Kleijnen Systematic Reviews Ltd, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, Child and Adolescent Mental Health, Palliative Care and Paediatrics Unit, Population Policy and Practice Programme, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Professor Ken Stein Professor of Public Health, University of Exeter Medical School, UK

Professor Jim Thornton Professor of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, University of Nottingham, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk