

# Prognostic indicators of severe disease in late preterm pre-eclampsia to guide decision making on timing of delivery: the PEACOCK study

This page provides information about a publication describing research funded by the Health Technology Assessment programme under project number 12/25/03, which has been published in a third-party journal. For information about copyright and reproduction of the original publication, please see the publisher's website.

## Publication

Duhig KE, Seed PT, Placzek A, Sparkes J, Hendy E, Gill C, *et al.* Prognostic indicators of severe disease in late preterm pre-eclampsia to guide decision making on timing of delivery: the PEACOCK study. *Pregnancy Hypertens* 2021;**24**:90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preghy.2021.02.012>

## Abstract

**Objective:** To assess the diagnostic performance of angiogenic biomarkers in determining need for delivery in seven days in women with late preterm preeclampsia.

**Study design:** In a prospective observational cohort study in 36 maternity units across England and Wales, we studied the diagnostic accuracy of placental growth factor (PIGF) and sFlt-1 in determining the risk of complications requiring delivery in late preterm (34<sup>+0</sup> to 36<sup>+6</sup> weeks' gestation) preeclampsia. Angiogenic biomarkers were measured using the Quidel (PIGF) and Roche (sFlt-1:PIGF ratio) assays. Additional clinical data was obtained for use within the established 'Prediction of complications in early-onset pre-eclampsia' (PREP)-S prognostic model. Biomarkers were assessed using standard methods (sensitivity, specificity, Receiver Operator Curve areas). Estimated probability of early delivery from PREP-S was compared to actual event rates.

**Main outcome measures:** Clinically indicated need for delivery for pre-eclampsia within seven days.

**Results:** PIGF (Quidel) testing had high sensitivity (97.9%) for delivery within seven days, but negative predictive value was only 71.4%, with low specificity (8.4%), with similar results from sFlt-1/PIGF assay. The area under the curve for PIGF was 0.60 (SE 0.03), and 0.65 (0.03), and 0.64 (0.03) for PREP-S in combination with PIGF, and sFlt-1:PIGF, respectively.

**Conclusions:** Angiogenic biomarkers do not add to clinical assessment to help determine need for delivery for women with late preterm pre-eclampsia. Existing models developed in women with early-onset pre-eclampsia to predict complications cannot be used to predict clinically indicated need for delivery in women with late preterm pre-eclampsia.

## Funding

This publication was funded by the Health Technology Assessment programme as a part of project number 12/25/03.

## DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.preghy.2021.02.012>