

# The effects of computerised decision support systems on nursing and allied health professional performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review and user contextualisation

Carl Thompson,<sup>1\*</sup> Teumzghi Mebrahtu,<sup>1</sup> Sarah Skyrme,<sup>1</sup>  
Karen Bloor,<sup>3</sup> Deidre Andre,<sup>2</sup> Anne Maree Keenan,<sup>1</sup>  
Alison Ledward,<sup>1</sup> Huiqin Yang<sup>1</sup> and Rebecca Randell<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Healthcare, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

<sup>2</sup>Library Services, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, UK

<sup>4</sup>Faculty of Health Studies, University of Bradford, Bradford, UK

\*Corresponding author [c.a.thompson@leeds.ac.uk](mailto:c.a.thompson@leeds.ac.uk)

## Disclosure of interests

**Full disclosure of interests:** Completed ICMJE forms for all authors, including all related interests, are available in the toolkit on the NIHR Journals Library report publication page at <https://doi.org/10.3310/GRNM5147>.

**Primary conflicts of interest:** Carl Thompson, Rebecca Randell and Alison Ledward are, or have been, members of the NIHR Health Services Delivery and Research funding committee.

Published October 2024

DOI: 10.3310/GRNM5147

## Plain language summary

The effects of computerised decision support systems on nursing and allied health professional performance and patient outcomes: a systematic review and user contextualisation

Health and Social Care Delivery Research 2024; Vol. 12: No. 40

DOI: 10.3310/GRNM5147

NIHR Journals Library [www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk)

## Plain language summary

Computerised decision support systems (CDSS) are software or computer-based technologies providing advice to professionals making clinical decisions – for example, which patients to treat first in emergency departments. CDSS improve some doctors' decisions and patients' outcomes, but we don't know if they improve nurses', midwives' and therapists' or other staff decisions and patient outcomes. Research into, and health professionals' use of, technology – for example, in video consultations – has grown since the last relevant systematic review in 2009.

We systematically searched electronic databases for research measuring how well nurses, midwives and other therapists/staff followed CDSS advice, how CDSS influence their decisions, how safe CDSS are, and their financial costs and benefits. We interviewed CDSS users and developers and some patient representatives from a general practice to help understand our findings.

Of 35 relevant studies – from 36,106 initially found – most (71%) focused on nurses. Just over half (57%) involved hospital-based staff, and three-quarters (75%) were from richer countries like the USA or the UK. Research quality had not noticeably improved since 2009 and all studies were at risk of potentially misleading readers. CDSS improved care in just under half (47%) of professional behaviours, such as following hand-disinfection guidance, working out insulin doses, and sampling blood on time. Patient care – judged using outcomes like falls, pressure ulcers, diabetes control and triage accuracy – was better in 41% of the care measured. There wasn't enough evidence to judge CDSS safety or the financial costs and benefits of systems.

CDSS can improve *some* nursing and therapist decisions and *some* patient outcomes. Studies mostly measure different behaviours and outcomes, making comparing them hard. Theories explaining or predicting how decision support systems might work are not used enough when designing, implementing or evaluating CDSS. More research into the financial costs and benefits of CDSS and higher-quality evidence of their effects are still needed. Whether decision support for nurses, midwives and other therapists reliably improves decision-making remains uncertain.

# Health and Social Care Delivery Research

ISSN 2755-0079 (Online)

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the [NIHR Journals Library website](#)

*Health and Social Care Delivery Research* (HSDR) was launched in 2013 and is indexed by Europe PMC, DOAJ, INAHTA, Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), NCBI Bookshelf, Scopus and MEDLINE.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) ([www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)).

Editorial contact: [journals.library@nihr.ac.uk](mailto:journals.library@nihr.ac.uk)

This journal was previously published as *Health Services and Delivery Research* (Volumes 1–9); ISSN 2050-4349 (print), ISSN 2050-4357 (online)

The full HSDR archive is freely available to view online at [www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hsdr](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hsdr).

## Criteria for inclusion in the *Health and Social Care Delivery Research* journal

Manuscripts are published in *Health and Social Care Delivery Research* (HSDR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HSDR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

## HSDR programme

The HSDR programme funds research to produce evidence to impact on the quality, accessibility and organisation of health and social care services. This includes evaluations of how the NHS and social care might improve delivery of services.

For more information about the HSDR programme please visit the website at <https://www.nihr.ac.uk/explore-nihr/funding-programmes/health-and-social-care-delivery-research.htm>

## This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HSDR programme or one of its preceding programmes as award number NIHR127926. The contractual start date was in October 2019. The draft manuscript began editorial review in October 2021 and was accepted for publication in July 2022. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HSDR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HSDR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HSDR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

**Copyright © 2024 Thompson *et al.* This work was produced by Thompson *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.**

Published by the NIHR Journals Library ([www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk)), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India ([www.newgen.co](http://www.newgen.co)).

