Antidepressants in pregnancy: applying causal epidemiological methods to understand service-use outcomes in women and long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes in exposed children

Hein Heuvelman,^{1,2} Neil M Davies,^{1,3,4} Yoav Ben-Shlomo,¹ Alan Emond,¹ Jonathan Evans,^{1,5,6} David Gunnell,^{1,5} Rachel Liebling,⁷ Richard Morris,¹ Rupert Payne,¹ Claire Storey,⁸ Maria Viner⁸ and Dheeraj Rai^{1,5,6*}

Disclosure of interests of authors

Full disclosure of interests: Completed ICMJE forms for all authors, including all related interests, are available in the toolkit on the NIHR Journals Library report publication page at https://doi.org/10.3310/AQTF4490.

Primary conflicts of interest: David Gunnell reports grants/contracts from Bristol BRC, is a member of Policy and Research Committee, Global Advisory Committee and National Suicide Prevention Strategy Advisory Group. Rupert Payne is a member of the MHRA Pharmacovigilance Expert Advisory Group and was a member of HTA Efficient Study Designs-2 panel. Dheeraj Rai is PI on grant – maternal epilepsy, antiepileptic drugs during pregnancy and autism (1R01NS107607), main supervisor for PhD studentship on risk and benefits of antidepressants during pregnancy (building upon the results of the present study), main supervisor for NIHR predoctoral fellowship on methods to study risk benefit of medication use during pregnancy.

¹Department of Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK ²Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK ³Medical Research Council Integrative Epidemiology Unit, Bristol Medical School, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

⁴KG Jebsen Centre for Genetic Epidemiology, Department of Public Health and Nursing, Norwegian University of Science and Technology, Trondheim, Norway ⁵National Institute for Health and Care Research Biomedical Research Centre,

National Institute for Health and Care Research Blomedical Research Centre,
University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust and the University of
Bristol, Bristol, UK

⁶Avon and Wiltshire Partnership NHS Mental Health Trust, Bristol, UK

⁷Fetal Medicine Unit, University Hospitals Bristol and Weston NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK

⁸Mothers for Mothers, Bristol, UK

^{*}Corresponding author Dheeraj.rai@bristol.ac.uk

Published September 2023 DOI: 10.3310/AQTF4490

Plain language summary

Antidepressants in pregnancy: applying causal epidemiological methods to understand service-use outcomes in women and long-term neurodevelopmental outcomes in exposed children

Health Technology Assessment 2023; Vol. 27: No. 15 DOI: 10.3310/AQTF4490

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain language summary

About one in seven women experience depression during pregnancy. Left untreated, this may harm them and their unborn babies. However, the decision to take antidepressants during pregnancy is difficult because women often worry about the risks to their unborn baby. Research findings have been inconsistent, so women often do not have clear information to enable them to make informed decisions.

We studied women's and children's outcomes after starting (compared with not starting) or continuing (compared with stopping) antidepressants in pregnancy. We used a large UK primary care database and several novel methods of analysis.

We tracked 80,103 pregnancies of women with depression for up to 2 years after pregnancy. We also tracked 34,274 children from these pregnancies for at least 4 years to check for developmental outcomes.

Women prescribed antidepressants were more likely than women not prescribed antidepressants to use general practice and mental health services during and after pregnancy, and to be prescribed antidepressants 2 years after pregnancy. This suggests that antidepressants were being prescribed to women with greater clinical need.

Women who continued antidepressants in pregnancy had no higher likelihood than those who discontinued antidepressants of autism, attention deficit hyperactivity disorder or intellectual disability in their children. This should reassure women making the decision to continue taking their medications in pregnancy.

Women who started antidepressants in pregnancy may possibly have had a slightly higher likelihood of autism in their children than those who did not start them. These findings were not seen in all analyses and were based on smaller numbers; therefore, they should be viewed with caution. Importantly, over 98 in every 100 children of women who initiated or continued antidepressants in pregnancy did not receive an autism diagnosis.

The findings may help women and clinicians make informed decisions on treatment with antidepressants in pregnancy.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 1366-5278 (Print)

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 4.014

Launched in 1997, *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) has an impact factor of 4.014 and is ranked 27th (out of 108 titles) in the 'Health Care Sciences & Services' category of the Clarivate 2021 Journal Citation Reports (Science Edition). It is also indexed by MEDLINE, CINAHL (EBSCO Information Services, Ipswich, MA, USA), Embase (Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), NCBI Bookshelf, DOAJ, Europe PMC, the Cochrane Library (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA), INAHTA, the British Nursing Index (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), Ulrichsweb (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and the Science Citation Index Expanded (Clarivate, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta

Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Reports are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as project number 15/80/19. The contractual start date was in August 2017. The draft report began editorial review in May 2021 and was accepted for publication in May 2022. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Copyright © 2023 Heuvelman *et al.* This work was produced by Heuvelman *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Prepress Projects Ltd, Perth, Scotland, and final files produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Dr Cat Chatfield Director of Health Services Research UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editorin-Chief of HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Dr Peter Davidson Interim Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board. Consultant Advisor, School of Healthcare Enterprise and Innovation, University of Southampton, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Consultant in Public Health, Delta Public Health Consulting Ltd, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Adviser, School of Healthcare Enterprise and Innovation, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Reader in Trials, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, School of Healthcare Enterprise and Innovation, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Consultant Advisor, School of Healthcare Enterprise and Innovation, University of Southampton, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, Child and Adolescent Mental Health, Palliative Care and Paediatrics Unit, Population Policy and Practice Programme, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk