Neuromuscular electrical stimulation as an adjunct to standard care in improving walking distances in intermittent claudication patients: the NESIC RCT

Laura Burgess,¹ Sasha Smith,¹ Adarsh Babber,¹
Joseph Shalhoub,¹ Francesca Fiorentino,²
Consuelo Nohpal de la Rosa,² Natalia Klimowska-Nassar,^{1,2}
David M Epstein,³ Daniel Pérez Troncoso,³
Bruce Braithwaite,⁴ Ian Chetter,⁵ James Coulston,⁶
Manjit Gohel,⁷ Robert Hinchliffe,⁸ Gerard Stansby⁹ and Alun H Davies^{1*} on behalf of the NESIC trial investigators

Disclosure of interests

Full disclosure of interests: Completed ICMJE forms for all authors, including all related interests, are available in the toolkit on the NIHR Journals Library report publication page at https://doi.org/10.3310/WGRF4128.

Primary conflicts of interest: Alun H Davies and Joseph Shalhoub had financial support from NIHR EME for the submitted work; Alun H Davies reports other grants from NIHR, Stroke Association, The Graham-Dixon Charitable Trust, The J P Moulton Charitable Foundation, Laboratoires Urgo, Actegy Health Ltd, The Royal College of Surgeons, Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust during the conduct of the study, none of which is related to the submitted work. Joseph Shalhoub reports grants from NIHR and British Heart Foundation during the conduct of this study, consulting fees from Oxford Healthtech Ltd unrelated to present submission, and membership of the Circulation Foundation (charity) committee, Vascular Society Research Special Interest Groups and Research Committee, Surgical Research Society council

¹Department of Surgery and Cancer, Imperial College London, UK

²Imperial Clinical Trials Unit, Imperial College London, UK

³Department of Applied Economics, University of Granada, Granada, Spain

⁴Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust, UK

⁵Hull York Medical School, University of Hull/Hull University Teaching Hospital NHS Trust. UK

⁶Somerset NHS Foundation Trust, UK

⁷Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, UK

⁸North Bristol NHS Trust, UK

⁹The Newcastle Upon Tyne Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, UK

^{*}Corresponding author a.h.davies@imperial.ac.uk

and Vascular and Endovascular Research Network committee; Manjit Gohel reports personal fees and other from Medtronic, personal fees and other from Cook Medical unrelated to present submission; lan Chetter reports membership of the HTA Prioritisation Committee B (in hospital) from 2021 to 2025.

All other authors have no conflicts to declare. There are no other relationships or activities that could appear to have influenced the submitted work.

Published July 2023 DOI: 10.3310/WGRF4128

Plain language summary

Neuromuscular electrical stimulation as an adjunct to standard care in improving walking distances in intermittent claudication patients: the NESIC RCT

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation 2023; Vol. 10: No. 2

DOI: 10.3310/WGRF4128

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain language summary

Why did we conduct this research?

Patients with intermittent claudication present with pain in the lower limbs on exercising, relieved by rest. This negatively impacts on exercise tolerance and quality of life.

Initially, such patients should be offered best medical therapy, including exercise advice, and a supervised exercise therapy programme. Supervised exercise therapy involves leg and feet exercises supervised by health-care professionals and, despite evidence favouring supervised versus unsupervised exercise, are underutilised in the United Kingdom. Therefore, there remains a significant difference between recommended standard care (best medical therapy and supervised exercise therapy) and 'real-world' standard care (best medical therapy only).

Neuromuscular electrical stimulation devices have emerged as safe, portable and readily accessible, with some evidence suggesting they can improve outcomes, including pain-free walking distance and quality of life. This study investigated whether a neuromuscular electrical stimulation device improved the walking distance of patients with intermittent claudication compared to local standard care available (which may include supervised exercise therapy).

What did we do?

Two hundred patients diagnosed with intermittent claudication at 11 hospitals in England took part. A computer program randomly assigned half the patients to local standard care only, while the other half were given a neuromuscular electrical stimulation device which delivers electrical stimulation to leg and feet muscles through foot-pads, plus local standard care.

What did we find?

There was no clear difference in maximal walking distances between those who received a device and those who didn't. However, neuromuscular electrical stimulation improved walking distances in patients who attended a supervised exercise therapy programme (although not significant), and clearly improved walking distances in those patients with a good baseline upper walking limit. Supervised exercise therapy significantly improved walking distances.

What could be done next?

Future research studies should further evaluate the effectiveness of neuromuscular electrical stimulation in combination with supervised exercise therapy, and in patients who have a good baseline walking distance in a larger sample of patients with intermittent claudication.

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation

ISSN 2050-4365 (Print)

ISSN 2050-4373 (Online)

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) was launched in 2014 and is indexed by Europe PMC, DOAJ, Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and NCBI Bookshelf.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full EME archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/eme.

Criteria for inclusion in the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation journal

Reports are published in *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* (EME) if (1) they have resulted from work for the EME programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

EME programme

The Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme funds ambitious studies evaluating interventions that have the potential to make a step-change in the promotion of health, treatment of disease and improvement of rehabilitation or long-term care. Within these studies, EME supports research to improve the understanding of the mechanisms of both diseases and treatments.

The programme supports translational research into a wide range of new or repurposed interventions. These may include diagnostic or prognostic tests and decision-making tools, therapeutics or psychological treatments, medical devices, and public health initiatives delivered in the NHS.

The EME programme supports clinical trials and studies with other robust designs, which test the efficacy of interventions, and which may use clinical or well-validated surrogate outcomes. It only supports studies in man and where there is adequate proof of concept. The programme encourages hypothesis-driven mechanistic studies, integrated within the efficacy study, that explore the mechanisms of action of the intervention or the disease, the cause of differing responses, or improve the understanding of adverse effects. It funds similar mechanistic studies linked to studies funded by any NIHR programme.

The EME programme is funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), with contributions from the Chief Scientist Office (CSO) in Scotland and National Institute for Social Care and Health Research (NISCHR) in Wales and the Health and Social Care Research and Development (HSC R&D), Public Health Agency in Northern Ireland.

This report

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the EME programme as project number 15/180/68. The contractual start date was in November 2017. The final report began editorial review in January 2022 and was accepted for publication in August 2022. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The EME editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' report and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the final report document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this report.

This report presents independent research. The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

Copyright © 2023 Burgess *et al.* This work was produced by Burgess *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).

NIHR Journals Library Editor-in-Chief

Dr Cat Chatfield Director of Health Services Research UK

NIHR Journals Library Editors

Professor Andrée Le May Chair of NIHR Journals Library Editorial Group (HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals) and Editorin-Chief of HSDR, PGfAR, PHR journals

Dr Peter Davidson Interim Chair of HTA and EME Editorial Board. Consultant Advisor, School of Healthcare Enterprise and Innovation, University of Southampton, UK

Professor Matthias Beck Professor of Management, Cork University Business School, Department of Management and Marketing, University College Cork, Ireland

Dr Tessa Crilly Director, Crystal Blue Consulting Ltd, UK

Dr Eugenia Cronin Consultant in Public Health, Delta Public Health Consulting Ltd, UK

Ms Tara Lamont Senior Adviser, School of Healthcare Enterprise and Innovation, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Catriona McDaid Reader in Trials, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, UK

Professor William McGuire Professor of Child Health, Hull York Medical School, University of York, UK

Professor Geoffrey Meads Emeritus Professor of Wellbeing Research, University of Winchester, UK

Professor James Raftery Professor of Health Technology Assessment, School of Healthcare Enterprise and Innovation, University of Southampton, UK

Dr Rob Riemsma Consultant Advisor, School of Healthcare Enterprise and Innovation, University of Southampton, UK

Professor Helen Roberts Professor of Child Health Research, Child and Adolescent Mental Health, Palliative Care and Paediatrics Unit, Population Policy and Practice Programme, UCL Great Ormond Street Institute of Child Health, London, UK

Professor Jonathan Ross Professor of Sexual Health and HIV, University Hospital Birmingham, UK

Professor Helen Snooks Professor of Health Services Research, Institute of Life Science, College of Medicine, Swansea University, UK

Please visit the website for a list of editors: www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/about/editors

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk