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What is the problem?

Heavy menstrual bleeding is a common problem that can significantly affect women's lives, yet many women do not seek medical help. Medical treatments, such as tablets and a hormonal coil inserted in the womb, were shown to help women with heavy menstrual bleeding in a previous clinical trial that we conducted, called ECLIPSE.

What did we plan to do?

In the ECLIPSE trial, women provided information for 5 years after their treatment started. We planned to continue to ask these women about their periods, their symptoms and quality of life, and the treatments that they chose about 10 years after they first joined the trial. We did this using questionnaires and by interviewing women.

What did we find?

We received questionnaires from 206 out of the 490 women (42%) who had participated in the ECLIPSE trial 10 years earlier. Responders were, on average, 54 years old, and half reported that they had reached the menopause. About 3 in 10 women overall had either received a hysterectomy or undergone destruction of the womb lining. Just over one-quarter of women were using the hormonal coil. Quality of life remained improved and was generally higher than that before treatment. There was no big difference in quality of life or in the numbers of women having surgery between those who first used tablets and those who received the coil. Women described the wide-ranging impact of heavy bleeding on their lives and the taboo around periods. Women's experience of good or poor communication with their doctors, and thoughts about fertility and menopause, influenced the treatment choices that they made.

What does this mean?

Women's quality of life was improved by medical treatments for heavy menstrual bleeding, even as menopause approached, and this shows the importance of these treatments. This research can help doctors and women to make more informed decisions about medical and surgical treatments.
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