## Prophylactic zoledronic acid therapy to prevent or modify Paget's disease of bone progression in adults with SQSTM1 mutations: the ZiPP RCT

# Jonathan Phillips,<sup>1</sup> Deepak Subedi,<sup>2</sup> Steff C Lewis,<sup>3</sup> Catriona Keerie<sup>3</sup> and Stuart H Ralston<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Genomic and Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Cancer, University of Edinburgh, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>2</sup>Department of Radiology and Nuclear Medicine, Western General Hospital, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>3</sup>Edinburgh Clinical Trials Unit, Usher Institute, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

\*Corresponding author stuart.ralston@ed.ac.uk

Published June 2024 DOI: 10.3310/FTKC2007

### Plain language summary

Prophylactic zoledronic acid therapy to prevent or modify Paget's disease of bone progression in adults with SQSTM1 mutations: the ZiPP RCT

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation 2024; Vol. 11: No. 10 DOI: 10.3310/FTKC2007

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

# **Plain language summary**

Daget's disease of bone causes bones to enlarge and become more fragile, potentially leading to pain, deformity, fractures, osteoarthritis and deafness. In normal clinical practice, Paget's disease of bone is often diagnosed at a late stage during the course of the disease when bone damage is irreversible. Early diagnosis and treatment may be beneficial. Mutations in the SQSTM1 gene can cause Paget's disease of bone to run in families and people with Paget's disease of bone who carry these mutations have more severe and extensive disease with an earlier age at onset. In this study, genetic testing for SQSTM1 mutations was offered to 1307 people with a family history of Paget's disease of bone with 750 individuals agreeing to be tested. Of these individuals, 350 (46.7%) were found to carry SQSTM1 mutations and were invited to take part in the trial; 222 people accepted and were enrolled into the study. They were randomly assigned to receive the drug zoledronic acid or a placebo. Both groups were followed for about 7 years. At the start of the study, 9.5% of participants already had Paget's disease of bone lesions. Over time, two people on placebo developed new lesions compared to none on zoledronic acid. In the placebo group, eight people had poor outcomes such as new or worsening lesions versus none in the zoledronic acid group. Treatment with zoledronic acid made existing lesions disappear more often than placebo. One person on placebo required treatment with zoledronic acid as they developed Paget's disease of bone-related symptoms. The study showed that it is feasible to identify people with early Paget's disease of bone by genetic testing coupled with bone scan examination in those with a family history of Paget's disease of bone. Treatment with zoledronic acid caused existing lesions to disappear more often than placebo. Genetic testing for SQSTM1 mutations coupled with bone scan examination and prophylactic zoledronic acid treatment may be beneficial in people with a family history of Paget's disease of bone.

### **Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation**

#### ISSN 2050-4373 (Online)

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) was launched in 2014 and is indexed by Europe PMC, DOAJ, Ulrichsweb<sup>™</sup> (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and NCBI Bookshelf.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full EME archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/eme.

### Criteria for inclusion in the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation journal

Manuscripts are published in *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* (EME) if (1) they have resulted from work for the EME programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

#### **EME programme**

The Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme funds ambitious studies evaluating interventions that have the potential to make a step-change in the promotion of health, treatment of disease and improvement of rehabilitation or long-term care. Within these studies, EME supports research to improve the understanding of the mechanisms of both diseases and treatments.

The programme supports translational research into a wide range of new or repurposed interventions. These may include diagnostic or prognostic tests and decision-making tools, therapeutics or psychological treatments, medical devices, and public health initiatives delivered in the NHS.

The EME programme supports clinical trials and studies with other robust designs, which test the efficacy of interventions, and which may use clinical or well-validated surrogate outcomes. It only supports studies in humans and where there is adequate proof of concept. The programme encourages hypothesis-driven mechanistic studies, integrated within the efficacy study, that explore the mechanisms of action of the intervention or the disease, the cause of differing responses, or improve the understanding of adverse effects. It funds similar mechanistic studies linked to studies funded by any NIHR programme.

The EME programme is funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), with contributions from the Chief Scientist Office (CSO) in Scotland and National Institute for Social Care and Health Research (NISCHR) in Wales and the Health and Social Care Research and Development (HSC R&D), Public Health Agency in Northern Ireland.

### **This article**

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the EME programme as award number 09/800/05. The contractual start date was in August 2008. The draft manuscript began editorial review in May 2023 and was accepted for publication in September 2023. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The EME editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research. The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2024 Phillips *et al.* This work was produced by Phillips *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).