



Research Article

Reducing self-harm in adolescents: the RISA-IPD individual patient data meta-analysis and systematic review

David Cottrell,^{1*} Alex Wright-Hughes,² Amanda Farrin,² Rebecca Walwyn,²
Faraz Mughal,^{3,4} Alex Truscott,⁵ Emma Diggins,¹ Donna Irving,⁶
Peter Fonagy,⁵ Dennis Ougrin,⁷ Daniel Stahl⁸ and Judy Wright⁹

¹Division of Psychological and Social Medicine, Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

²Complex Interventions Division, Clinical Trials Research Unit, Leeds Institute of Clinical Trials Research, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

³School of Medicine, Keele University, Keele, UK

⁴NIHR Greater Manchester Patient Safety Translational Research Centre, Keele University, Keele, UK

⁵Research Department of Clinical, Educational and Health Psychology, University College, London, UK

⁶Leeds Trinity University, Leeds, UK

⁷Youth Resilience Unit, WHO Collaborating Centre for Mental Health Services Development, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK

⁸Institute of Psychiatry Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

⁹Academic Unit of Health Economics, Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, School of Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK

*Corresponding author d.j.cottrell@leeds.ac.uk

Published July 2024
DOI: 10.3310/GTNT6331

Plain language summary

Reducing self-harm in adolescents: the RISA-IPD individual patient data meta-analysis and systematic review

Health Technology Assessment 2026; Vol. 30: No. 3

DOI: 10.3310/GTNT6331

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain language summary

Self-harm is very common in young people and leads to an increased risk of death by suicide. Research so far has not provided clear evidence about which interventions can help to prevent self-harm repetition when young people present to services having harmed themselves. One way to understand what might help is to pool the results from lots of different clinical trials – this is known as a meta-analysis. This has already been done using the data published in research articles but has not led to clearer conclusions. In part this is because the information available in published articles is patchy and inconsistent which makes pooling the information and analysing it, difficult.

A more useful approach is to ask researchers who led the clinical trials for their original 'raw' data and then pool and analyse all that data – this is known as an individual patient data meta-analysis. This has the added benefit that it is possible to include studies where only some of the participants are young people. We did this, and were able to identify many more study participants along with their data, compared to earlier meta-analyses.

In this article, we describe how we searched for relevant research studies and the methods we used to obtain individual patient data from other researchers. We also describe our rating of the research quality of the studies we identified.

We identified more studies, with many more participants in total, than in previous pooled study research. Gathering the data from other researchers was very time-consuming and not everyone was willing or able to share their data. When we rated the quality of the studies that we found, many were not of high quality.

Our next step is to analyse all the data we have collected to see if it will tell us more about how we might prevent repetition of self-harm in young people.