# **Emollient application from birth to prevent eczema in high-risk children: the BEEP RCT**

Lucy E Bradshaw,<sup>1</sup> Laura A Wyatt,<sup>1</sup> Sara J Brown,<sup>2,3</sup> Rachel H Haines,<sup>1</sup> Alan A Montgomery,<sup>1</sup> Michael R Perkin,<sup>4</sup> Tracey H Sach,<sup>5</sup> Sandra Lawton,<sup>6</sup> Carsten Flohr,<sup>7</sup> Matthew J Ridd,<sup>8</sup> Joanne R Chalmers,<sup>9</sup> Joanne Brooks,<sup>1</sup> Richard Swinden,<sup>1</sup> Eleanor J Mitchell,<sup>1</sup> Stella Tarr,<sup>1</sup> Nicola Jay,<sup>10</sup> Kim S Thomas,<sup>7</sup> Hilary Allen,<sup>11</sup> Michael J Cork,<sup>12</sup> Maeve M Kelleher,<sup>11</sup> Eric L Simpson,<sup>13</sup> Stella T Lartey,<sup>5</sup> Susan Davies-Jones,<sup>9</sup> Robert J Boyle<sup>9,11</sup> and Hywel C Williams<sup>9\*</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Nottingham Clinical Trials Unit, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Centre for Genomic and Experimental Medicine, Institute of Genetics and Cancer, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Department of Dermatology, NHS Lothian, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Population Health Research Institute, St George's, University of London, London, UK <sup>5</sup>Health Economics Group, Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Rotherham NHS Foundation Trust, Rotherham, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup>Unit for Population-Based Dermatology Research, St John's Institute of Dermatology, Guy's & St Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Population Health Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup>Centre of Evidence Based Dermatology, School of Medicine, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>Sheffield Children's Hospital, Sheffield, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup>National Heart and Lung Institute, Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup>Sheffield Dermatology Research, Department of Infection and Immunity, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup>Department of Dermatology, Oregon Health & Science University, Portland, Oregon, USA

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author hywel.williams@nottingham.ac.uk

Published July 2024 DOI: 10.3310/RHDN9613

## Plain language summary

Emollient application from birth to prevent eczema in high-risk children: the BEEP RCT

Health Technology Assessment 2024; Vol. 28: No. 29

DOI: 10.3310/RHDN9613

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

## **Plain language summary**

czema is a troublesome itchy skin condition affecting 1 in 5 children and 1 in 10 UK adults. There is no cure and affected children are more likely to develop food allergies. We wanted to see if we could prevent eczema by protecting the skin of babies at higher risk of developing eczema (with an immediate relative with eczema, asthma or hay fever) with moisturisers used to treat dry skin. Previous research suggested that protecting the skin barrier might also prevent food allergy. One thousand three hundred and ninety-four families took part in a study; half of them were asked to apply moisturiser every day to their newborn baby for the first year and half to look after their baby's skin in the normal way. At the age of 2 years, we did not see any difference in how common eczema was between the two groups: 23% had eczema in the moisturiser group and 25% in the normal care group. It did not matter how we defined eczema - whether examined by a researcher or parent report. We did not find any differences in related conditions like asthma or hay fever either. We found that children using moisturisers had seen their doctor slightly more often for mild skin infections. There was a hint that food allergy might have been increased in the moisturiser group, but there was not enough data to be sure. We followed up the children to age 5 years, but we still did not find any benefits from using moisturisers in early life. Since this study, other similar research has been done using newer types of moisturisers, but their results are the same. This study shows that using daily moisturisers on healthy babies with a high risk of eczema does not prevent eczema. It is one less thing for busy families to worry about.

## **Health Technology Assessment**

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.6

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Launched in 1997, *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) has an impact factor of 3.6 and is ranked 32nd (out of 105 titles) in the 'Health Care Sciences & Services' category of the Clarivate 2022 Journal Citation Reports (Science Edition). It is also indexed by MEDLINE, CINAHL (EBSCO Information Services, Ipswich, MA, USA), EMBASE (Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), NCBI Bookshelf, DOAJ, Europe PMC, the Cochrane Library (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA), INAHTA, the British Nursing Index (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and the Science Citation Index Expanded™ (Clarivate™, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta.

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Manuscripts are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

#### **HTA** programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

### This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as award number 12/67/12. The contractual start date was in June 2014. The draft manuscript began editorial review in September 2022 and was accepted for publication in May 2023. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2024 Bradshaw et al. This work was produced by Bradshaw et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).