# Current experience and future potential of facilitating access to digital NHS primary care services in England: the Di-Facto mixed-methods study

Gary Abel,<sup>1</sup> Helen Atherton,<sup>2</sup> Jon Sussex,<sup>3</sup> Nurunnahar Akter,<sup>1</sup> Abodunrin Q Aminu,<sup>1</sup> Wiktoria Bak,<sup>1</sup> Carol Bryce,<sup>2</sup> Christopher E Clark,<sup>1</sup> Emma Cockcroft,<sup>1</sup> Hamish Evans,<sup>3</sup> Evangelos Gkousis,<sup>3</sup> Georgia Jenkins,<sup>4</sup> Caroline Jenkinson,<sup>1</sup> Nada Khan,<sup>1</sup> Jeffrey Lambert,<sup>5</sup> Brandi Leach,<sup>3</sup> Christine Marriott,<sup>1</sup> Jennifer Newbould,<sup>3</sup> Sarah Parkinson,<sup>3</sup> Jo Parsons,<sup>2</sup> Emma Pitchforth,<sup>1</sup> Laura Sheard,<sup>6</sup> Stephanie Stockwell,<sup>3</sup> Chloe Thomas,<sup>1</sup> Bethan Treadgold,<sup>1</sup> Rachel Winder<sup>1</sup> and John L Campbell<sup>1\*</sup>

Published September 2024 DOI: 10.3310/JKYT5803

## Plain language summary

Current experience and future potential of facilitating access to digital NHS primary care services in England: the Di-Facto mixed-methods study

Health and Social Care Delivery Research 2024; Vol. 12: No. 32 DOI: 10.3310/JKYT5803

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Department of Health and Community Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, University of Exeter Medical School, Exeter, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Unit of Academic Primary Care, Warwick Medical School, University of Warwick, Gibbet Hill, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>RAND Europe, Westbrook Centre, Cambridge, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>PenARC Patient and Public Involvement Team, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, UK

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author john.campbell@exeter.ac.uk

# **Plain language summary**

#### **Background**

Online services are common in the National Health Service. This research looked at 'digital facilitation' in general practices. Digital facilitation is about supporting National Health Service patients in their use of online services. We aimed to understand how much digital facilitation is being used by general practices. We also looked at how digital facilitation happens and if it affects the number of people using online services.

#### **Methods**

- 1. We looked at previous research to help us understand what approaches have been used to support patients to use online services.
- 2. We used surveys to ask staff at general practices what they were already doing, and to ask patients about their experiences.
- 3. We observed digital facilitation in general practices and spoke to patients and staff to help us understand the benefits and challenges of different approaches.
- 4. We combined findings from the three stages outlined above to identify key aspects of digital facilitation.

All stages of our research included discussions with the project's patient advisory group.

#### **Key findings**

We found that digital facilitation is seen as important and has many forms. Most general practices are using passive and reactive types of facilitation. An example of passive facilitation, initiated by the service but not involving direct inter-personal interaction, is the use of text messaging relating to ordering of repeat prescriptions online. An example of reactive facilitation is providing a response to a patient-initiated query regarding online access. There is clear scope to develop a more proactive approach to facilitation that actively engages patients. Our research highlights a lack of clarity over who is responsible for digital facilitation. Different people (patients, staff, policy-makers) often think that the responsibility lies with others. Investment in digital facilitation is needed. Tools and platforms for digital facilitation that meet patients' and general practices' needs should be developed.

### **Health and Social Care Delivery Research**

ISSN 2755-0079 (Online)

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Health and Social Care Delivery Research (HSDR) was launched in 2013 and is indexed by Europe PMC, DOAJ, INAHTA, Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), NCBI Bookshelf, Scopus and MEDLINE.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

This journal was previously published as *Health Services and Delivery Research* (Volumes 1–9); ISSN 2050-4349 (print), ISSN 2050-4357 (online)

The full HSDR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hsdr.

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health and Social Care Delivery Research journal

Manuscripts are published in *Health and Social Care Delivery Research* (HSDR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HSDR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

#### **HSDR** programme

The HSDR programme funds research to produce evidence to impact on the quality, accessibility and organisation of health and social care services. This includes evaluations of how the NHS and social care might improve delivery of services.

For more information about the HSDR programme please visit the website at https://www.nihr.ac.uk/explore-nihr/funding-programmes/health-and-social-care-delivery-research.htm

#### This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HSDR programme or one of its preceding programmes as award number NIHR128268. The contractual start date was in June 2020. The draft manuscript began editorial review in February 2023 and was accepted for publication in July 2023. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HSDR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HSDR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HSDR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2024 Abel et al. This work was produced by Abel et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).