# Consequences of how third sector organisations are commissioned in the NHS and local authorities in England: a mixed-methods study

Rod Sheaff,<sup>1\*</sup> Angela Ellis Paine,<sup>2</sup> Mark Exworthy,<sup>3</sup> Alex Gibson,<sup>1</sup> Joanna Stuart,<sup>3</sup> Véronique Jochum,<sup>3</sup> Pauline Allen,<sup>4</sup> Jonathan Clark,<sup>5</sup> Russell Mannion<sup>3</sup> and Sheena Asthana<sup>1</sup>

**Disclaimer:** This report contains transcripts of interviews conducted in the course of the research, or similar, and contains language which may offend some readers.

Published October 2024 DOI: 10.3310/NTDT7965

## Plain language summary

Consequences of how third sector organisations are commissioned in the NHS and local authorities in England: a mixed-methods study

Health and Social Care Delivery Research 2024; Vol. 12: No. 39 DOI: 10.3310/NTDT7965

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Peninsula School of Medicine and Dentistry, University of Plymouth, ITTC Building, Davy Road, Plymouth Science Park, Plymouth, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Bayes Business School, Centre for Charity Effectiveness, Bayes Business School (Formerly Cass), London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Health Services Management Centre, Park House, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>Department of Health Services Research and Policy, London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>School of Society and Culture, University of Plymouth, Plymouth, UK

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author R.Sheaff@plymouth.ac.uk

# Plain language summary

National Health Service commissioners and local councils often buy health and care services from voluntary, community and social enterprises. This study aimed to explore how commissioners and voluntary, community and social enterprises worked together and where improvements could be made.

We talked to commissioners and voluntary, community and social enterprises in six areas across England and focused on services for learning disabilities, social prescribing and end-of-life care. We analysed National Health Service financial accounts to see how much the National Health Service was spending on services provided by voluntary, community and social enterprises. We surveyed how commissioners and voluntary, community and social enterprises were using information and knowledge to make decisions. We organised events bringing together commissioners and voluntary, community and social enterprises to share knowledge and experience.

We found there were two ways commissioners bought services from voluntary, community and social enterprises. One was commodified (a buying-and-selling model), the other collaborative (based on working together). Both were happening at the same time in all the areas of the study, but usually one of them was more present than the other. We saw a general move towards collaboration, but some areas were further along with this than others. Various things helped commissioners and voluntary, community and social enterprises collaborate, such as: paying voluntary, community and social enterprises enough for their services; having people and networks that encouraged others to work with voluntary, community and social enterprises; and including voluntary, community and social enterprises in making decisions about health and care.

Commissioners and voluntary, community and social enterprises might therefore lean further towards working together to see how collaborative commissioning can be further developed; how to make contract prices cover voluntary, community and social enterprises' costs; how to enable longer-term contracts; and how to enable less hurried, more considered ways of renewing contracts (e.g. by putting draft contract specifications out to pre-tender consultation). The new Integrated Care Systems in local areas could encourage all this to happen, but pressures elsewhere in the health and care sector might make it more difficult.

## **Health and Social Care Delivery Research**

ISSN 2755-0079 (Online)

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Health and Social Care Delivery Research (HSDR) was launched in 2013 and is indexed by Europe PMC, DOAJ, INAHTA, Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), NCBI Bookshelf, Scopus and MEDLINE.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

This journal was previously published as *Health Services and Delivery Research* (Volumes 1–9); ISSN 2050-4349 (print), ISSN 2050-4357 (online)

The full HSDR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hsdr.

## Criteria for inclusion in the Health and Social Care Delivery Research journal

Manuscripts are published in *Health and Social Care Delivery Research* (HSDR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HSDR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

### **HSDR** programme

The HSDR programme funds research to produce evidence to impact on the quality, accessibility and organisation of health and social care services. This includes evaluations of how the NHS and social care might improve delivery of services.

For more information about the HSDR programme please visit the website at https://www.nihr.ac.uk/explore-nihr/funding-programmes/health-and-social-care-delivery-research.htm

### This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HSDR programme or one of its preceding programmes as award number NIHR128107. The contractual start date was in December 2019. The draft manuscript began editorial review in March 2023 and was accepted for publication in April 2024. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HSDR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HSDR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HSDR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2024 Sheaff *et al.* This work was produced by Sheaff *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).