## Alitretinoin versus phototherapy as the first-line treatment in adults with severe chronic hand eczema: the ALPHA RCT

Miriam Wittmann,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Isabelle L Smith,<sup>3</sup> Sarah Tess Brown,<sup>3</sup> Anna Berekméri,<sup>1,4</sup> Armando Vargas-Palacios,<sup>5</sup> Lesley Sunderland,<sup>6</sup> Amy Barker,<sup>7</sup> Fiona Cowdell,<sup>8</sup> Steven Ersser,<sup>9</sup> Rachael Gilberts,<sup>3</sup> Cathy Green,<sup>10</sup> Philip Hampton,<sup>11</sup> Catherine Smith<sup>12</sup> and Jane Nixon<sup>3,5</sup>

- <sup>1</sup>Leeds Institute of Rheumatic and Musculoskeletal Medicine, University of Leeds, Leeds, Yorkshire, UK
- <sup>2</sup>University Medical Centre of Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Mainz, Germany <sup>3</sup>Clinical Trials Research Unit, Leeds Institute of Clinical Trials Research, University of
- Leeds, Leeds, UK
- <sup>4</sup>NIHR Leeds Musculoskeletal Biomedical Research Unit (LMBRU), Chapel Allerton Hospital, Leeds, UK
- <sup>5</sup>Academic Unit of Health Economics, Leeds Institute of Health Sciences, University of Leeds, Leeds, UK
- <sup>6</sup>Street Lane Practice, Roundhay, Leeds, UK
- <sup>7</sup>Patient representative, Leeds, UK
- <sup>8</sup>Faculty of Health Education and Life Sciences, Birmingham City University, Birmingham, UK
- <sup>9</sup>Department of Nursing Science, Faculty of Health and Social Science, Bournemouth University, Bournemouth, UK
- <sup>10</sup>Department of Dermatology, Ninewells Hospital, Dundee, Tayside, UK
- <sup>11</sup>Department of Dermatology, Newcastle Hospital, Newcastle, Tyne and Wear, UK
- <sup>12</sup>St John's Institute of Dermatology, Guy's and St Thomas's NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

\*Corresponding author Miriam.Wittmann@unimedizin-mainz.de

Published October 2024 DOI: 10.3310/TWQC0141

# Plain language summary

Alitretinoin versus phototherapy as the first-line treatment in adults with severe chronic hand eczema: the ALPHA RCT

Health Technology Assessment 2024; Vol. 28: No. 59 DOI: 10.3310/TWQC0141

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

## **Plain language summary**

### What was the question?

The main question was which treatment was better at easing symptoms of severe hand eczema after 12 weeks. The two treatments compared were ones used most often by UK dermatologists. The first is a tablet called alitretinoin, which is taken once a day. The second is called ultraviolet therapy, where hands are soaked in a special liquid and placed under ultraviolet light twice a week at a hospital.

### What did we do?

We treated 220 patients with alitretinoin and 221 patients with ultraviolet therapy. Patients received treatment for 12 to 24 weeks depending on how well their hand eczema responded. Patients could have different treatments afterwards, and we collected information on their hand eczema symptoms for up to 1 year.

### What did we find?

After 12 weeks, severe hand eczema symptoms improved for both groups of patients but improved most for patients who took alitretinoin. However, 1 year after joining the trial, there was no evidence of a difference between alitretinoin and ultraviolet therapy as a first-line treatment.

More patients stopped ultraviolet therapy early compared with patients who received alitretinoin. Different treatments may have been prescribed after the first treatment.

#### What does this mean?

Alitretinoin provides a convenient, instant relief or a 'quick fix' for patients with severe hand eczema. Alitretinoin is more convenient for lots of people, but it is important to have other options available for people who would prefer not to, or are unable to, take alitretinoin. For example, people who take alitretinoin can experience unwanted side effects, and people who are able to become pregnant must also use contraception.

Long-term control of severe hand eczema is important. Individual discussions on the pros and cons of each treatment for hand eczema symptoms is needed. Providing flexible options to attend ultraviolet therapy appointments could be helpful (e.g. weekend/evenings).

## **Health Technology Assessment**

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.6

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Launched in 1997, *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) has an impact factor of 3.6 and is ranked 32nd (out of 105 titles) in the 'Health Care Sciences & Services' category of the Clarivate 2022 Journal Citation Reports (Science Edition). It is also indexed by MEDLINE, CINAHL (EBSCO Information Services, Ipswich, MA, USA), EMBASE (Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), NCBI Bookshelf, DOAJ, Europe PMC, the Cochrane Library (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA), INAHTA, the British Nursing Index (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and the Science Citation Index Expanded™ (Clarivate™, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta.

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Manuscripts are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

#### **HTA programme**

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

#### **This article**

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as award number 12/186/01. The contractual start date was in January 2015. The draft manuscript began editorial review in January 2023 and was accepted for publication in June 2023. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, these of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2024 Wittmann *et al.* This work was produced by Wittmann *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).