Human immunodeficiency virus prevention and testing strategies among men who have sex with men in the UK: the PANTHEON research programme including the SELPHI RCT

Janey Sewell,¹ T Charles Witzel,² David Dunn,³ Fiona Lampe,¹ Fiona Burns,¹ Peter Weatherburn,² Sheena McCormack,³ Leanne McCabe,³ Alec Miners,² Valentina Cambiano,¹ Roger Pebody,⁴ Roy Trevelion,⁴ Nadia Hanum,¹Andrew Phillips¹ and Alison Rodger^{1*}

¹Institute for Global Health, University College London, London, UK ²London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, London, UK ³MRC Clinical Trials Unit, University College London, London, UK ⁴NAM aidsmap, London, UK ⁵HIV i-Base, London, UK

Published October 2024 DOI: 10.3310/AYHE4598

Plain language summary

Human immunodeficiency virus prevention and testing strategies among men who have sex with men in the UK: the PANTHEON research programme including the SELPHI RCT

Programme Grants for Applied Research 2024; Vol. 12: No. 8

DOI: 10.3310/AYHE4598

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

^{*}Corresponding author alison.rodger@ucl.ac.uk

Plain language summary

Background

In 2014, new human immunodeficiency virus diagnoses among gay men in the United Kingdom were increasing year on year. New ways of testing for human immunodeficiency virus, such as self-testing (whereby a person can do the test themselves without a health worker there and then read the result within 15 minutes) had been developed, but it was not known whether offering self-testing would increase the number of new human immunodeficiency virus diagnoses in gay men.

Methods

We did an internet trial to see whether giving gay men a free human immunodeficiency virus self-testing kit would increase the number diagnosed with human immunodeficiency virus compared to not being given a free human immunodeficiency virus self-testing kit. We also looked at whether regular provision of human immunodeficiency virus self-testing kits every 3 months over a 2-year period would allow a more prompt diagnosis among those who got a new human immunodeficiency virus infection. Finally, we looked at value for money of providing free human immunodeficiency virus self-testing and other interventions including pre-exposure prophylaxis and early human immunodeficiency virus treatment (at the point of diagnosis), to prevent human immunodeficiency virus infection.

Results

The ease and privacy of human immunodeficiency virus self-testing meant that it was an acceptable way of testing for men who have sex with men. Over 10,000 men who have sex with men and *trans* people took part in the trial but there was no difference after 3 months in the number of gay men who were newly diagnosed with human immunodeficiency virus who had been provided with a free self-test kit compared to the group that had not.

We found that a combination of human immunodeficiency virus-prevention interventions including an increase in human immunodeficiency virus testing, pre-exposure prophylaxis, early human immunodeficiency virus treatment at the point of diagnosis, and a reduction in the levels of condom-less sex each played an important role in decreasing human immunodeficiency virus incidence among men who have sex with men.

Conclusions

Human immunodeficiency virus self-testing was acceptable to men who have sex with men. Although human immunodeficiency virus self-testing increased how often men who have sex with men tested, it did not increase human immunodeficiency virus diagnosis.

Programme Grants for Applied Research

ISSN 2050-4330 (Online)

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Programme Grants for Applied Research (PGfAR) was launched in 2013 and is indexed by Europe PMC, NCBI Bookshelf, DOAJ, Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and Scopus® (Elsevier, Amsterdam, Netherlands).

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full PGfAR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/pgfar.

Criteria for inclusion in the Programme Grants for Applied Research journal

Manuscripts are published in *Programme Grants for Applied Research* (PGfAR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the PGfAR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Programme Grants for Applied Research programme

The Programme Grants for Applied Research (PGfAR) programme, part of the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), was established in 2006 to fund collaborative, multidisciplinary programmes of applied research to solve health and social care challenges. Findings are expected to provide evidence that lead to clear and identifiable patient benefits, in the relatively near future.

PGfAR is researcher led and does not specify topics for research; however, the research must be in an area of priority or need for the NHS and the social care sector of the Department of Health and Social Care, with particular emphasis on health and social care areas that cause significant burden, where other research funders may not be focused, or where insufficient funding is available.

The programme is managed by the NIHR Central Commissioning Facility (CCF) with strategic input from the Programme Director. For more information about the PGfAR programme please visit the website: https://www.nihr.ac.uk/explore-nihr/funding-programmes/programme-grants-for-applied-research.htm

This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by PGfAR as award number RP-PG-1212-20006. The contractual start date was in March 2015. The draft manuscript began editorial review in April 2022 and was accepted for publication in May 2024. As the funder, the PGfAR programme agreed the research questions and study designs in advance with the investigators. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The PGfAR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, CCF, PGfAR or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the PGfAR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2024 Sewell *et al.* This work was produced by Sewell *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).