Clinical effectiveness of subsensory sacral neuromodulation in adults with faecal incontinence: the SUBSoNIC crossover RCT and mechanistic study

Paul F Vollebregt,¹ Yan Li Goh,² Claire L Chan,³ Thomas Dudding,⁴ Paul Furlong,⁵ Shaheen Hamdy,⁶ Joanne Haviland,³ Richard Hooper,³ James Jones,⁷ Eleanor McAlees,¹ Christine Norton,⁸ P Ronan O'Connell,⁹ S Mark Scott,¹ Natasha Stevens,¹ Kerry Tubby,¹ Sian Worthen,⁵ Yuk Lam Wong³ and Charles H Knowles^{1*}

- ¹Centre for Neuroscience, Surgery and Trauma, Blizard Institute, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK ²Sandwell and West Birmingham NHS Trust, London, UK
- ³Pragmatic Clinical Trials Unit, Centre for Evaluation and Methods, Wolfson Institute of Population Health, Faculty of Medicine and Dentistry, Queen Mary University of London, London, UK
- ⁴University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK
- ⁵Aston Institute of Health and Neurodevelopment, College of Health and Life Sciences, Aston University, Birmingham, UK
- ⁶Centre for GI Sciences, Division of Diabetes, Endocrinology & Gastroenterology, University of Manchester, London, UK
- ⁷Health Sciences Centre, School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland ⁸Florence Nightingale Faculty of Nursing, Midwifery and Palliative Care, King's College London, London, UK
- ⁹Centre for Colorectal Disease, St Vincent's University Hospital, Dublin, Ireland

*Corresponding author c.h.knowles@qmul.ac.uk

Published November 2024 DOI: 10.3310/JYWT9670

Plain language summary

Clinical effectiveness of subsensory sacral neuromodulation in adults with faecal incontinence: the SUBSoNIC crossover RCT and mechanistic study

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation 2024; Vol. 11: No. 19 DOI: 10.3310/JYWT9670

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain language summary

A treatment called sacral neuromodulation is commonly offered to adults experiencing bowel (faecal) incontinence. A battery powered unit is implanted into the lower back in the region of the sacrum (tailbone). This is connected to a specially developed lead with electrodes that rest on the nerves of the lower spine. This stimulator then continuously sends electrical impulses to the nerves and muscles that control the lower bowel (rectum and anus). The aim is to improve bowel control.

Previous studies have reported a great benefit of sacral neuromodulation in some patients, but others have little or no response. The SUBsensory Sacral Neuromodulation for InContinence trial recruited 39 patients (of 90 intended) who met the current national criteria for sacral neuromodulation. It compared the effect on numbers of weekly faecal incontinence episodes with the device either on (active) or off (sham) using a special study design called a randomised crossover trial. All participants had the device on and off for 16 weeks in random order (crossing over in the middle). Using stimulation below the level that can be felt (subsensory), both the patients and the research team were unaware of whether the stimulator was on or off (called double blinding).

Due to COVID-19, only 16 patients had complete data for analysis, which was much less than the intended number of 90. The results showed that patients experienced reductions in faecal incontinence episodes during both on and off periods (i.e. there was a strong placebo effect). However, slightly greater effects were seen during the on period suggesting a possible genuine biological effect of sacral neuromodulation. The study also showed that the way we record symptoms during research trials for example with paper bowel diaries needs improvement, as the bowel diaries were not fully completed by some participants. Although this is the first double-blind trial of its kind for sacral neuromodulation, all conclusions must bear in mind the poor recruitment and retention of patients.

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation

ISSN 2050-4373 (Online)

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) was launched in 2014 and is indexed by Europe PMC, DOAJ, Ulrichsweb[™] (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and NCBI Bookshelf.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full EME archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/eme.

Criteria for inclusion in the Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation journal

Manuscripts are published in *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* (EME) if (1) they have resulted from work for the EME programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

EME programme

The Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme funds ambitious studies evaluating interventions that have the potential to make a step-change in the promotion of health, treatment of disease and improvement of rehabilitation or long-term care. Within these studies, EME supports research to improve the understanding of the mechanisms of both diseases and treatments.

The programme supports translational research into a wide range of new or repurposed interventions. These may include diagnostic or prognostic tests and decision-making tools, therapeutics or psychological treatments, medical devices, and public health initiatives delivered in the NHS.

The EME programme supports clinical trials and studies with other robust designs, which test the efficacy of interventions, and which may use clinical or well-validated surrogate outcomes. It only supports studies in humans and where there is adequate proof of concept. The programme encourages hypothesis-driven mechanistic studies, integrated within the efficacy study, that explore the mechanisms of action of the intervention or the disease, the cause of differing responses, or improve the understanding of adverse effects. It funds similar mechanistic studies linked to studies funded by any NIHR programme.

The EME programme is funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), with contributions from the Chief Scientist Office (CSO) in Scotland and National Institute for Social Care and Health Research (NISCHR) in Wales and the Health and Social Care Research and Development (HSC R&D), Public Health Agency in Northern Ireland.

This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the EME programme as award number 14/144/08. The contractual start date was in April 2017. The draft manuscript began editorial review in December 2023 and was accepted for publication in May 2024. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The EME editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research. The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2024 Vollebregt *et al.* This work was produced by Vollebregt *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).