Emotional literacy programme in special schools for children with a learning disability in England: the ZF-SEND feasibility RCT

Biza Stenfert Kroese,^{1*} Gemma Unwin,¹ Richard Hastings,² Andrew Jahoda,³ Rachel McNamara,⁴ David Gillespie,⁴ Jeremy Segrott,⁵ Kate Ingarfield,⁴ Myrsini Gianatsi,⁴ Elizabeth Randell,⁴ Zoe Mather,⁶ Barbara Barrett,⁷ Poushali Ganguli,⁷ John Rose,¹ Mariam Sahle,¹ Emily Warren¹ and Nathan Da Cruz¹

Published December 2024 DOI: 10.3310/JTJY8001

Plain language summary

Emotional literacy programme in special schools for children with a learning disability in England: the ZF-SEND feasibility RCT

Public Health Research 2024; Vol. 12: No. 15

DOI: 10.3310/JTJY8001

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

¹School of Psychology, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, UK

²CEDAR, University of Warwick, Coventry, UK

³School of Health and Wellbeing, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

⁴Centre for Trials Research, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

⁵Centre for Trials Research, DECIPHer Centre, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

⁶Nasen, Tamworth, UK

⁷Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, King's College London, London, UK

^{*}Corresponding author b.stenfert-kroese@bham.ac.uk

Plain language summary

Why this research is important

Emotional literacy (the ability to understand, express and manage your own feelings and be aware of other people's emotional needs) is important for mental health. There are no programmes where research tells us that emotional literacy programmes for children in special schools 'work'.

The programme

Zippy's Friends is an emotional literacy programme widely used in mainstream schools. Zippy's Friends for Special Educational Needs and Disabilities is adapted for use in special schools.

How we did it

Eight special schools were involved. Five used Zippy's Friends for Special Educational Needs and Disabilities in at least one class over a school year. They were compared with three that used standard teaching programmes only. Teachers, parents and children completed questionnaires to measure changes in children's emotional literacy, mental health and behaviour over the school year. We also interviewed teachers, parents and children to find out about their experiences of taking part in the study and Zippy's Friends for Special Educational Needs and Disabilities.

This study was designed to find out:

- 1. How willing schools are to take part
- 2. If Zippy's Friends for Special Educational Needs and Disabilities can be delivered as planned
- 3. If the questionnaires are suitable.

The results

Fifty-three pupils were recruited; none dropped out. Teachers returned questionnaires for 62% of children at the end of the school year. Feedback on Zippy's Friends for Special Educational Needs and Disabilities from pupils, parents/carers and teachers was very positive.

What this means

This study took place during the COVID-19 pandemic. Although this made it more difficult, it was feasible. The results tell us that a larger-scale version of this study can be done, provided that we make changes to improve: (1) the timing of contacting schools; (2) how we collect information and (3) how we work with parents/carers. With these improvements, a larger study may be able to tell us whether Zippy's Friends for Special Educational Needs and Disabilities can improve emotional literacy in children in special schools to help them cope with problems and have better mental health.

Public Health Research

ISSN 2050-439X (Online)

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Public Health Research (PHR) was launched in 2013 and is indexed by Europe PMC, NCBI Bookshelf, DOAJ, INAHTA, Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and MEDLINE.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full PHR archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/phr.

Criteria for inclusion in the Public Health Research journal

Manuscripts are published in *Public Health Research* (PHR) if (1) they have resulted from work for the PHR programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Public Health Research* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

PHR programme

The Public Health Research (PHR) programme, part of the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), is the leading UK funder of public health research, evaluating public health interventions, providing new knowledge on the benefits, costs, acceptability and wider impacts of non-NHS interventions intended to improve the health of the public and reduce inequalities in health. The scope of the programme is multi-disciplinary and broad, covering a range of interventions that improve public health.

For more information about the PHR programme please visit the website: https://www.nihr.ac.uk/explore-nihr/funding-programmes/public-health-research.htm

This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the PHR programme as award number NIHR129064. The contractual start date was in March 2020. The draft manuscript began editorial review in July 2023 and was accepted for publication in March 2024. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The PHR editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the PHR programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2024 Stenfert Kroese et al. This work was produced by Stenfert Kroese et al. under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).