# Collagenase injection versus limited fasciectomy surgery to treat Dupuytren's contracture in adult patients in the UK: DISC, a non-inferiority RCT and economic evaluation

Joseph Dias,<sup>1\*</sup> Puvan Tharmanathan,<sup>2</sup> Catherine Arundel,<sup>2</sup> Charlie Welch,<sup>2</sup> Qi Wu,<sup>3</sup> Paul Leighton,<sup>4</sup> Maria Armaou,<sup>5</sup> Belen Corbacho,<sup>2</sup> Nick Johnson,<sup>6</sup> Sophie James,<sup>2</sup> John Cooke,<sup>5</sup> Christopher Bainbridge,<sup>6</sup> Michael Craigen,<sup>7</sup> David Warwick,<sup>8</sup> Samantha Brady,<sup>2</sup> Lydia Flett,<sup>2</sup> Judy Jones,<sup>5</sup> Catherine Knowlson,<sup>2</sup> Michelle Watson,<sup>2</sup> Ada Keding,<sup>2</sup> Catherine Hewitt<sup>2</sup> and David Torgerson<sup>2</sup>

 <sup>1</sup>Academic Team of Musculoskeletal Surgery, Undercroft, Leicester General Hospital, University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, UK
<sup>2</sup>York Trials Unit, Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, UK
<sup>3</sup>Department of Health Sciences, University of York, York, UK
<sup>4</sup>University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK
<sup>5</sup>University Hospitals of Leicester NHS Trust, Leicester, UK
<sup>6</sup>University Hospitals of Derby and Burton NHS Trust, Derby, UK
<sup>7</sup>Royal Orthopaedic Hospital NHS Foundation Trust, Birmingham, UK
<sup>8</sup>University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust, Southampton, UK

\*Corresponding author jd96@leicester.ac.uk

Published December 2024 DOI: 10.3310/KGXD8528

## Plain language summary

Collagenase injection versus limited fasciectomy surgery to treat Dupuytren's contracture in adult patients in the UK: DISC, a noninferiority RCT and economic evaluation

Health Technology Assessment 2024; Vol. 28: No. 78 DOI: 10.3310/KGXD8528

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

# **Plain language summary**

Dupuytren's contracture happens when fibrous tissue builds up and over time bends the finger(s) into the palm, causing problems with hand function. To treat this, surgery is usually used to straighten the finger. A less intrusive alternative is an injection (collagenase), which softens the tissue after which the finger is moved to straighten it.

The Dupuytren's interventions surgery versus collagenase trial recruited 672 patients who were equally and randomly assigned to have either surgery or collagenase injection. The study assessed whether the injection was as good and as safe as surgery at straightening the finger and how long the finger remained straightened.

For up to 2 years after treatment, the participant's hand function and general health were assessed. Some participants provided photographs to monitor changes to the finger, and some were asked about their experiences of Dupuytren's contracture and treatments.

We found:

- Hand health improved following both treatments. Initially, the injection treatment improved hand health more than surgery. However, by 1 year, surgery improved hand health more than the injection treatment.
- Recovery of hand function was quicker for participants who received the injection; however, they were more likely to need further treatment (i.e. further care and/or re-intervention). Participants said that the less positive longer-term outcome was acceptable for a better treatment experience.
- For both treatments, interviews found that participants were happy with the hand improvement they experienced at 3 months after treatment.
- More than half of participants had no complications, moderate or severe complications were rare, and participants who had surgery had more of these.
- The injection was cheaper but less effective than surgery at 1 year and was considered good value for money. However, by 2 years surgery became the better option due to its greater improvement in health benefits. Participant-taken photographs can help monitor Dupuytren's contracture but do not give the same results as measurements taken in a clinic.

### **Health Technology Assessment**

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.6

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Launched in 1997, *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) has an impact factor of 3.6 and is ranked 32nd (out of 105 titles) in the 'Health Care Sciences & Services' category of the Clarivate 2022 Journal Citation Reports (Science Edition). It is also indexed by MEDLINE, CINAHL (EBSCO Information Services, Ipswich, MA, USA), EMBASE (Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), NCBI Bookshelf, DOAJ, Europe PMC, the Cochrane Library (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA), INAHTA, the British Nursing Index (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), Ulrichsweb<sup>™</sup> (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and the Science Citation Index Expanded<sup>™</sup> (Clarivate<sup>™</sup>, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta.

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Manuscripts are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

### **HTA programme**

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

### **This article**

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as award number 15/102/04. The contractual start date was in November 2016. The draft manuscript began editorial review in April 2023 and was accepted for publication in May 2024. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, these of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2024 Dias *et al.* This work was produced by Dias *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).