

Autopsy of a failed trial part 1: A qualitative investigation of clinician's views on and experiences of the implementation of the DAISIES trial in UK-based intensive eating disorder services

This page provides information about a publication describing research funded by the Health Technology Assessment programme under award number 17/123/03, which has been published in a third-party journal. For information about copyright and reproduction of the original publication, please see the publisher's website.

Publication

Phillips M, Ince B, Webb H, Dalton B, McCombie C, Irish M, et al. Autopsy of a failed trial part 1: A qualitative investigation of clinician's views on and experiences of the implementation of the DAISIES trial in UK-based intensive eating disorder services. *Eur Eat Disord Rev* 2023;31:489–504. <https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2975>

Abstract

Objective

The DAISIES trial, comparing inpatient and stepped-care day patient treatment for adults with severe anorexia nervosa was prematurely terminated in March 2022 due to poor recruitment. This qualitative study seeks to understand the difficulties faced during the trial by investigating stakeholders' views on and experiences of its implementation.

Method

Semi-structured interview and focus group transcripts, and trial management and oversight group meeting minutes from May 2020-June 2022 were analysed using thematic analysis. Participants were 47 clinicians and co-investigators involved with the DAISIES trial. The Non-Adoption, Abandonment, Scale-up, Spread, and Sustainability (NASSS) framework was applied to the interpretive themes to classify barriers and facilitators to implementation.

Results

Five themes were identified: incompatible participation interests; changing standard practice; concerns around clinical management; systemic capacity and capability issues; and Covid-19 disrupting implementation. Applying the NASSS framework indicated the greatest implementation challenges to arise with the adopters (e.g. patients, clinicians), the organisational systems (e.g. service capacity), and the wider socio-political context (e.g. Covid-19 closing services).

Conclusions

Our findings emphasise the top-down impact of systemic-level research implementation challenges. The impact of the Covid-19 pandemic accentuated pre-existing organisational barriers to trial implementation within intensive eating disorder services, further limiting the capacity for research.

Funding

This publication was funded by the Health Technology Assessment programme as a part of award number 17/123/03.

This article reports on one component of the research award A Randomised Controlled Multi-Centre Open-Label Parallel Group Non-Inferiority Trial of the Clinical Effectiveness, Acceptability and Cost-Effectiveness of a 'Stepping into Day Treatment' Approach versus Inpatient Treatment as Usual for Anorexia Nervosa in Adult Specialist Eating Disorder Services. For more information about this research please view the award page [<https://fundingawards.nihr.ac.uk/award/17/123/03>]

DOI

<https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2975>