



Extended Research Article

Connectivity guided intermittent theta burst stimulation versus repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in moderately severe treatment resistant depression: the BRIGHtMIND RCT

Richard Morriss,^{1,2,3,4*} Lucy Webster,^{2,5} Luke Ingram,⁶ Mohamed Abdelghani,⁷ Adriana Anton,⁸ Shaun Barber,⁶ Peter Bates,⁵ Paul Briley,^{1,2,5} Clement Boutry,^{1,4} Cassandra Brookes,⁶ Edward Cox,⁸ Beth Hall,^{9,10} Marilyn James,⁸ Matthew Keane,⁸ Micheal Kurkar,¹¹ Sudheer Lankappa,² Peter Liddle,^{1,2} Hamish McAllister-Williams,^{9,10} Hyerin Oh,^{1,5} Alexander O'Neill-Kerr,¹² Jehill Parikh,¹³ Stefan Pszczolkowski,^{1,2} Ana Suazo Di Paola,⁶ Louise Thomson,^{1,5} Yvette Walters⁶ and Dorothee Auer^{1,2}

¹Mental Health and Clinical Neurosciences, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

²Nottingham NIHR Biomedical Research Centre, Nottingham, UK

³NIHR MindTech MedTech Collaborative, Nottingham, UK

⁴NIHR Applied Research Collaboration East Midlands, Nottingham, UK

⁵Institute of Mental Health, Nottinghamshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, Nottingham, UK

⁶Leicester Clinical Trials Unit, University of Leicester, Leicester, UK

⁷Clinical Neuromodulation Service, Camden and Islington NHS Foundation Trust, London, UK

⁸Health Economics, Clinical Trial Unit, University of Nottingham, Nottingham, UK

⁹Cumbria, Northumberland Tyne and Wear NHS Foundation Trust, Newcastle, UK

¹⁰Northern Centre for Mood Disorders, Translational and Clinical Research Institute, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, UK

¹¹Pennine Care TMS Service, Pennine Care NHS Foundation Trust, Oldham, Greater Manchester, UK

¹²Centre for Neuromodulation, Northamptonshire Healthcare NHS Foundation Trust, UK

¹³Newcastle Magnetic Resonance Centre, University of Newcastle, Newcastle, UK

*Corresponding author richard.morriss@nottingham.ac.uk

Published February 2025

DOI: 10.3310/WVNY5029

Plain language summary

Connectivity guided intermittent theta burst stimulation versus repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation in moderately severe treatment resistant depression: the BRIGHtMIND RCT

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation 2025; Vol. 12: No. 2

DOI: 10.3310/WVNY5029

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain language summary

Transcranial magnetic stimulation delivers magnetic bursts of energy to the skull. It is recommended for use in the National Health Service in the United Kingdom by the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence as a treatment for treatment-resistant depression. Treatment-resistant depression is depression that has not improved with at least two different courses of treatment.

In this trial, we randomly allocated (like a toss of a coin) 255 individuals with treatment-resistant depression to either the usual repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation delivered to a standard brain region, or to an alternative patterned form of transcranial magnetic stimulation delivered to an individually personalised site called connectivity-guided intermittent theta burst stimulation. We used the participants' magnetic resonance brain imaging scans to choose the site. All participants in the trial received 20 transcranial magnetic stimulation sessions over 4–6 weeks.

We measured depression, anxiety, functioning, thinking, memory, acceptability, side effects, quality of life, and costs of both treatments at baseline and at 8, 16 and 26 weeks after they were randomised. We used brain imaging techniques before and 16 weeks after they were randomised to measure brain connectivity (how parts of the brain interact with each other) and chemical changes in the brain that may change with depression symptoms.

Contrary to what we predicted, connectivity-guided intermittent theta burst stimulation was not more effective than repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation on any of the outcomes except it might have cost a little less and slightly improved quality of life. About 20% of both groups showed a response lasting 26 weeks. Both treatment protocols were safe but often produced mild temporary side effects. Both treatments were acceptable. There were some associations between chemicals in the brain and improvement with treatments. There were similar changes in the way some parts of the brain interacted with each other when depression symptoms improved with transcranial magnetic stimulation.

We concluded that both transcranial magnetic stimulation treatments produce lasting improvements for some people with treatment-resistant depression. However, there were reasons other than transcranial magnetic stimulation why some of these people might have improved.

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation

ISSN 2050-4373 (Online)

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the [NIHR Journals Library website](#)

Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) was launched in 2014 and is indexed by Europe PMC, DOAJ, Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and NCBI Bookshelf.

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full EME archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/eme.

Criteria for inclusion in the *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* journal

Manuscripts are published in *Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation* (EME) if (1) they have resulted from work for the EME programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

EME programme

The Efficacy and Mechanism Evaluation (EME) programme funds ambitious studies evaluating interventions that have the potential to make a step-change in the promotion of health, treatment of disease and improvement of rehabilitation or long-term care. Within these studies, EME supports research to improve the understanding of the mechanisms of both diseases and treatments.

The programme supports translational research into a wide range of new or repurposed interventions. These may include diagnostic or prognostic tests and decision-making tools, therapeutics or psychological treatments, medical devices, and public health initiatives delivered in the NHS.

The EME programme supports clinical trials and studies with other robust designs, which test the efficacy of interventions, and which may use clinical or well-validated surrogate outcomes. It only supports studies in humans and where there is adequate proof of concept. The programme encourages hypothesis-driven mechanistic studies, integrated within the efficacy study, that explore the mechanisms of action of the intervention or the disease, the cause of differing responses, or improve the understanding of adverse effects. It funds similar mechanistic studies linked to studies funded by any NIHR programme.

The EME programme is funded by the Medical Research Council (MRC) and the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR), with contributions from the Chief Scientist Office (CSO) in Scotland and National Institute for Social Care and Health Research (NISCHR) in Wales and the Health and Social Care Research and Development (HSC R&D), Public Health Agency in Northern Ireland.

This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the EME programme as award number 16/44/22. The contractual start date was in February 2018. The draft manuscript began editorial review in March 2023 and was accepted for publication in August 2024. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The EME editors and production house have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research. The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the MRC, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the EME programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2025 Morriss *et al.* This work was produced by Morriss *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).

