



#### **Extended Research Article**

# Understanding the effectiveness and underlying mechanisms of lifestyle modification interventions in adults with learning disabilities: a mixed-methods systematic review

Dikshyanta Rana,<sup>1\*†</sup> Sophie Westrop,<sup>2†</sup> Nishant Jaiswal,<sup>1</sup> Evi Germeni,<sup>1</sup> Arlene McGarty,<sup>2</sup> Louisa Ells,<sup>3</sup> Phillippa Lally,<sup>4</sup> Michael McEwan,<sup>5</sup> Craig Melville,<sup>2</sup> Leanne Harris<sup>6</sup> and Olivia Wu<sup>1</sup>

\*Corresponding author Dikshyanta.rana@glasgow.ac.uk

†Joint lead authors

Published February 2025 DOI: 10.3310/BSTG4556

## Plain language summary

Understanding the effectiveness and underlying mechanisms of lifestyle modification interventions in adults with learning disabilities: a mixed-methods systematic review

Health Technology Assessment 2025; Vol. 29: No. 4

DOI: 10.3310/BSTG4556

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Health Economics and Health Technology Assessment, School of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>Mental Health and Wellbeing, School of Health and Wellbeing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>School of Clinical and Applied Sciences, Leeds Beckett University, Leeds, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup>UCL Institute of Epidemiology and Health Care, University College London, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup>People First (Scotland), Edinburgh, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup>School of Medicine, Dentistry and Nursing, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

# Plain language summary

#### **Our question**

Adults with learning disabilities are more likely to have an unhealthy lifestyle. This includes alcohol misuse, smoking, not much physical activity and an unhealthy diet. An unhealthy lifestyle can cause serious health problems. We wanted to understand what and why lifestyle change programmes for adults with learning disabilities work, how they work and why they work sometimes but not others.

#### What we did

We searched for studies about lifestyle change programmes on alcohol consumption, smoking, low physical activity only, sedentary behaviour, and poor diet in adults with learning disabilities. We split our review into two. The first review focused on studies on lifestyle change programmes. The second review focused on some studies from the first review and also studies that interviewed people with learning disabilities and their caregivers.

We also asked what people with learning disabilities and other researchers thought were important.

#### What we found

Our first review found 80 studies with 4805 adults. Studies showed mixed results related to what existing lifestyle change programmes work in adults with learning disabilities. Our second review found 79 studies. It explained the results of the first review and identified key characteristics of lifestyle programmes that are likely to improve the lives of adults with learning disabilities. Both reviews found that changing the lifestyles of adults with learning disabilities is very complex. We identified various personal, health, social and environmental aspects that are important to adults with learning disabilities.

#### **Conclusions**

Current lifestyle change programmes need to consider the needs, wants and lives of people with learning disabilities. The best way to do this is by involving people with lived experiences when making the programmes.

### **Health Technology Assessment**

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.5

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the NIHR Journals Library website

Launched in 1997, *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) has an impact factor of 3.5 and is ranked 30th (out of 174 titles) in the 'Health Care Sciences & Services' category of the Clarivate 2022 Journal Citation Reports (Science Edition). It is also indexed by MEDLINE, CINAHL (EBSCO Information Services, Ipswich, MA, USA), EMBASE (Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), NCBI Bookshelf, DOAJ, Europe PMC, the Cochrane Library (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA), INAHTA, the British Nursing Index (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and the Science Citation Index Expanded™ (Clarivate™, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta.

#### Criteria for inclusion in the Health Technology Assessment journal

Manuscripts are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

#### **HTA** programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

#### This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as award number NIHR128755. The contractual start date was in August 2020. The draft manuscript began editorial review in September 2022 and was accepted for publication in May 2023. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2025 Rana *et al.* This work was produced by Rana *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).