



Extended Research Article

Evaluation of prognostic models to improve prediction of metastasis in patients following potentially curative treatment for primary colorectal cancer: the PROSPECT trial

Vicky Goh,^{1*} Susan Mallett,² Manuel Rodriguez-Justo,³ Victor Boulter,⁴
Rob Glynne-Jones,⁵ Saif Khan,⁶ Sarah Lessels,⁷ Dominic Patel,³ Davide Prezzi,¹
Stuart Taylor,² Steve Halligan² on behalf of all the PROSPECT investigators

¹School of Biomedical Engineering and Imaging Sciences, King's College London, London, UK

²UCL Centre for Medical Imaging, London, UK

³Research Department of Pathology, UCL Cancer Institute, London, UK

⁴Patient Representative

⁵Mount Vernon Centre for Cancer Treatment, Northwood, UK

⁶Department of Pathology, London, UK

⁷Scottish Clinical Trials Research Unit (SCTRU), NHS National Services Scotland, Edinburgh, Scotland

*Corresponding author vicky.goh@kcl.ac.uk

Published April 2025

DOI: 10.3310/BTMT7049

Plain language summary

Evaluation of prognostic models to improve prediction of metastasis in patients following potentially curative treatment for primary colorectal cancer: the PROSPECT trial

Health Technology Assessment 2025; Vol. 29: No. 8

DOI: 10.3310/BTMT7049

NIHR Journals Library www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk

Plain language summary

Bowel cancer is one of the most common United Kingdom cancers and a leading cause of death. Despite apparently curative treatment, up to half of patients ultimately die from their disease because the tumour subsequently spreads around the body, known as 'metastasis'. Patients are given chemotherapy upfront to prevent this spread, but predicting who will and will not develop metastasis is challenging, so it is difficult to know who to treat. Prediction is based on cancer 'stage', which describes how advanced the tumour is on imaging and under the microscope. A 'multivariable prognostic model' may improve prediction and is a combination of multiple factors known about the patient and their tumour that provides a score for the chance of future disease. However, multivariable models are not commonly used to predict recurrence for colorectal cancer and are criticised because they omit the latest 'cutting-edge' measurements (e.g. from scanning and genetic testing). To improve prediction of outcomes after bowel cancer, we performed a study in 13 National Health Service hospitals, where we collected both basic and more novel measurements from patients at the time of their diagnosis. We then followed patients for 3 years to determine who did and did not develop metastasis. From 2011 to 2016, we recruited 448 patients and used data from 326 to develop a multivariable model to predict metastasis. Our baseline model used a combination of basic factors, such as age, sex, tumour size and location, and treatment. This model predicted future disease significantly better than simple measurement of tumour stage. However, we found that the model did not improve when we added cutting-edge measurements. This suggests that these newer measurements are not useful to predict the chance of future disease. Our results suggest that researchers investigating prediction would be best served by concentrating on basic rather than more novel measurements.

Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.5

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the [NIHR Journals Library website](#)

Launched in 1997, *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) has an impact factor of 3.5 and is ranked 30th (out of 174 titles) in the 'Health Care Sciences & Services' category of the Clarivate 2022 Journal Citation Reports (Science Edition). It is also indexed by MEDLINE, CINAHL (EBSCO Information Services, Ipswich, MA, USA), EMBASE (Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), NCBI Bookshelf, DOAJ, Europe PMC, the Cochrane Library (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA), INAHTA, the British Nursing Index (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and the Science Citation Index Expanded™ (Clarivate™, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) (www.publicationethics.org/).

Editorial contact: journals.library@nihr.ac.uk

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta.

Criteria for inclusion in the *Health Technology Assessment* journal

Manuscripts are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as award number 09/22/49. The contractual start date was in January 2011. The draft manuscript began editorial review in June 2022 and was accepted for publication in October 2022. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2025 Goh *et al.* This work was produced by Goh *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library (www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India (www.newgen.co).