



## Extended Research Article

# Behaviour change interventions to promote physical activity in people with intermittent claudication: the OPTIMA systematic review

Ukachukwu O Abaraogu,<sup>1,2\*</sup> Philippa Dall,<sup>2</sup> Chris Seenan,<sup>2</sup>  
Sarah Rhodes,<sup>3</sup> Trish Gorely,<sup>4</sup> Joanna McParland,<sup>2</sup> Julie Brittenden,<sup>5</sup>  
Ebuka M Aniето,<sup>2,6</sup> Lorna Booth,<sup>2</sup> Cathy Gormal,<sup>7</sup> Jeremy Dearling,<sup>7</sup>  
Candida Fenton,<sup>8</sup> Sarah Audsley,<sup>9</sup> Kimberley Fairer,<sup>10,11</sup>  
Lindsay Bearne<sup>12</sup> and Dawn A Skelton<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Division of Biological Sciences and Health, School of Health and Life Sciences, University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, UK

<sup>2</sup>Research Centre for Health (ReaCH), School of Health and Life Sciences, Glasgow Caledonian University, Glasgow, UK

<sup>3</sup>Division of Population Health, Health Services Research & Primary Care University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>4</sup>Centre for Rural Health Sciences, University of the Highlands and Islands, Inverness, UK

<sup>5</sup>Institute of Cardiovascular Research, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, UK

<sup>6</sup>School of Allied Health Sciences, University of Suffolk, Ipswich, UK

<sup>7</sup>Patient and Public Involvement Representative

<sup>8</sup>Nessie Evidence Synthesis, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

<sup>9</sup>Sport, Exercise and Rehabilitation, Northumbria University, Newcastle, UK

<sup>10</sup>Allied Health Professions Research and Innovation Unit, Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Oxford, UK

<sup>11</sup>Croydon University Hospital, Croydon Health Services NHS Trust, London, UK

<sup>12</sup>Population Health Research Institute, St George's School of Health and Medical Sciences, City St George's, University of London, London, UK

\*Corresponding author [ukachukwu.abaraogu@uws.ac.uk](mailto:ukachukwu.abaraogu@uws.ac.uk)

Published May 2025

DOI: 10.3310/ZBNG5240

## Plain language summary

Behaviour change interventions to promote physical activity in people with intermittent claudication: the OPTIMA systematic review

Health Technology Assessment 2025; Vol. 29: No. 18

DOI: 10.3310/ZBNG5240

NIHR Journals Library [www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk)

## Plain language summary

Around 3.2 million people in the United Kingdom have a condition called peripheral arterial disease, where the arteries in the legs become clogged, leading to fatigue, pain or cramps (known as intermittent claudication) when people walk, but going away with rest. Consequently, over time, people walk less and sit more, leading to further health deterioration. Walking for the recommended 30 minutes a day to maintain health can be challenging because of pain, so we need to know if supporting people to change their behaviour in unsupervised walking could help.

This project examined studies from other research teams who have looked into a variety of walking programmes, in terms of daily physical activity, how far people could walk without pain, self-reported walking difficulties, quality of life and ankle-brachial pressure index, which takes blood pressure readings from the ankles as an indication of any blockages. Finally, we aimed to understand the feasibility and acceptability of these programmes.

Eleven studies were included in the review and programmes which included strategies to support people's intentions to engage in physical activity showed an increase of around 473 more steps a day in the short term, compared to those that did not include that support. Over time, 6 months after the programmes finished, this dropped to 288 steps/day. These programmes also improved the distance people could walk before pain started or they had to rest, perceived walking difficulties and disease-specific quality of life. There were no changes in ankle-brachial pressure index. While supervised exercise ranks first in terms of short-term daily activity, behaviour-change-focused unsupervised walking programmes were better for medium-term outcomes and are feasible to set up and acceptable to the people taking part. They would be a suitable alternative or choice to supervised walking programmes.

# Health Technology Assessment

ISSN 2046-4924 (Online)

Impact factor: 3.5

A list of Journals Library editors can be found on the [NIHR Journals Library website](#)

Launched in 1997, *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) has an impact factor of 3.5 and is ranked 30th (out of 174 titles) in the 'Health Care Sciences & Services' category of the Clarivate 2022 Journal Citation Reports (Science Edition). It is also indexed by MEDLINE, CINAHL (EBSCO Information Services, Ipswich, MA, USA), EMBASE (Elsevier, Amsterdam, the Netherlands), NCBI Bookshelf, DOAJ, Europe PMC, the Cochrane Library (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Hoboken, NJ, USA), INAHTA, the British Nursing Index (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA), Ulrichsweb™ (ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and the Science Citation Index Expanded™ (Clarivate™, Philadelphia, PA, USA).

This journal is a member of and subscribes to the principles of the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE) ([www.publicationethics.org/](http://www.publicationethics.org/)).

Editorial contact: [journals.library@nihr.ac.uk](mailto:journals.library@nihr.ac.uk)

The full HTA archive is freely available to view online at [www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk/hta).

## Criteria for inclusion in the *Health Technology Assessment* journal

Manuscripts are published in *Health Technology Assessment* (HTA) if (1) they have resulted from work for the HTA programme, and (2) they are of a sufficiently high scientific quality as assessed by the reviewers and editors.

Reviews in *Health Technology Assessment* are termed 'systematic' when the account of the search appraisal and synthesis methods (to minimise biases and random errors) would, in theory, permit the replication of the review by others.

## HTA programme

Health Technology Assessment (HTA) research is undertaken where some evidence already exists to show that a technology can be effective and this needs to be compared to the current standard intervention to see which works best. Research can evaluate any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease, provided the study outcomes lead to findings that have the potential to be of direct benefit to NHS patients. Technologies in this context mean any method used to promote health; prevent and treat disease; and improve rehabilitation or long-term care. They are not confined to new drugs and include any intervention used in the treatment, prevention or diagnosis of disease.

The journal is indexed in NHS Evidence via its abstracts included in MEDLINE and its Technology Assessment Reports inform National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) guidance. HTA research is also an important source of evidence for National Screening Committee (NSC) policy decisions.

## This article

The research reported in this issue of the journal was funded by the HTA programme as award number NIHR130664. The contractual start date was in August 2021. The draft manuscript began editorial review in June 2024 and was accepted for publication in October 2024. The authors have been wholly responsible for all data collection, analysis and interpretation, and for writing up their work. The HTA editors and publisher have tried to ensure the accuracy of the authors' manuscript and would like to thank the reviewers for their constructive comments on the draft document. However, they do not accept liability for damages or losses arising from material published in this article.

This article presents independent research funded by the National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR). The views and opinions expressed by authors in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care. If there are verbatim quotations included in this publication the views and opinions expressed by the interviewees are those of the interviewees and do not necessarily reflect those of the authors, those of the NHS, the NIHR, the HTA programme or the Department of Health and Social Care.

This article was published based on current knowledge at the time and date of publication. NIHR is committed to being inclusive and will continually monitor best practice and guidance in relation to terminology and language to ensure that we remain relevant to our stakeholders.

Copyright © 2025 Abaraogu *et al.* This work was produced by Abaraogu *et al.* under the terms of a commissioning contract issued by the Secretary of State for Health and Social Care. This is an Open Access publication distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution CC BY 4.0 licence, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, reproduction and adaptation in any medium and for any purpose provided that it is properly attributed. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>. For attribution the title, original author(s), the publication source – NIHR Journals Library, and the DOI of the publication must be cited.

Published by the NIHR Journals Library ([www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk](http://www.journalslibrary.nihr.ac.uk)), produced by Newgen Digitalworks Pvt Ltd, Chennai, India ([www.newgen.co](http://www.newgen.co)).